## QUERYING INCONSISTENT DESCRIPTION LOGIC KNOWLEDGE BASES UNDER PREFERRED REPAIR SEMANTICS

## BIENVENU M / BOURGAUX C / GOASDOUE F

Unité Mixte de Recherche 8623
CNRS-Université Paris Sud - LRI
04/2014
Rapport de Recherche ${ }^{\circ} 1572$

CNRS - Université de Paris Sud
Centre d'Orsay
LABORATOIRE DE RECHERCHE EN INFORMATIQUE
Bâtiment 650
91405 ORSAY Cedex (France)

# Querying Inconsistent Description Logic Knowledge Bases under Preferred Repair Semantics 

Meghyn Bienvenu and Camille Bourgaux<br>LRI, CNRS \& Université Paris-Sud<br>Orsay, France

François Goasdoué<br>IRISA, Université de Rennes 1<br>Lannion, France


#### Abstract

Recently several inconsistency-tolerant semantics have been introduced for querying inconsistent description logic knowledge bases. Most of these semantics rely on the notion of a repair, defined as an inclusion-maximal subset of the facts (ABox) which is consistent with the ontology (TBox). In this paper, we study variants of two popular inconsistencytolerant semantics obtained by replacing classical repairs by various types of preferred repair. We analyze the complexity of query answering under the resulting semantics, focusing on the lightweight logic DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$. Unsurprisingly, query answering is intractable in all cases, but we nonetheless identify one notion of preferred repair, based upon priority levels, whose data complexity is "only" coNP-complete. This leads us to propose an approach combining incomplete tractable methods with calls to a SAT solver. An experimental evaluation of the approach shows good scalability on realistic cases.


## 1 Introduction

Description logic (DL) knowledge bases consist of an ontology (called a TBox) expressing conceptual knowledge about a particular domain and a dataset (or ABox) containing facts about particular individuals (Baader et al. 2003). Recent years have seen an increasing interest in performing database-style query answering over DL knowledge bases. Since scalability is crucial in data-rich applications, there has been a trend to using so-called lightweight DLs for which query answering is tractable w.r.t. the size of the ABox. Particular attention has been paid to DLs of the DLLite family (Calvanese et al. 2007) which possess the notable property that query answering can be reduced to evaluation of standard database queries.

An important issue that arises in the context of DL query answering is how to handle the case in which the ABox is inconsistent with the TBox. Indeed, while it may be reasonable to assume that the TBox has been properly debugged, the ABox will typically be very large and subject to frequent modifications, both of which make errors likely. Since it may be too difficult or costly to identify and fix these errors, it is essential to be able to provide meaningful answers to queries in the presence of such data inconsistencies. Unfortunately, standard DL semantics is next to useless in such circumstances, as everything is entailed from an inconsistent knowledge base. To address this issue,
several different inconsistency-tolerant semantics have been proposed for querying inconsistent DL knowledge bases. Among them, the AR and IAR semantics (Lembo et al. 2010) are the most well-known and well-studied. Both semantics are based upon the notion of a repair, defined as an inclusion-maximal subset of the ABox which are consistent with the TBox. The AR semantics amounts to computing those answers that hold no matter which repair is chosen, whereas the more cautious IAR semantics queries the intersection of the repairs.

When additional information on the reliability of ABox assertions is available, it is natural to use this information to identify preferred repairs, and to use the latter as the basis of inconsistency-tolerant query answering. In this paper, we investigate variants of the AR and IAR semantics obtained by replacing the classical notion of repair by one of four different types of preferred repairs. Cardinality-maximal repairs are intended for settings in which all ABox assertions are believed to have the same likelihood of being correct. The other three types of preferred repairs target the scenario in which some assertions are considered to be more reliable than others, which can be captured qualitatively by partitioning the ABox into priority levels (and then applying either the set inclusion or cardinality criterion to each level), or quantitatively by assigning weights to the ABox assertions (and selecting those repairs having the greatest weight).

The first contribution of the paper is a systematic study of the complexity of answering conjunctive and atomic queries under the eight resulting preferred repair semantics. We focus on the lightweight logic DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}$ that underlies the OWL 2 QL profile (Motik et al. 2012), though many of our results can be generalized to other data-tractable ontology languages. For the IAR semantics, the use of preferred repairs significantly impacts complexity: we move from polynomial data complexity in the case of (plain) IAR semantics to coNP-hard data complexity (or worse) for IAR semantics based on preferred repairs. For the AR semantics, query answering is known to be coNP-complete in data complexity already for the standard notion of repairs, but adding preferences often results in even higher complexities. The sole exception is $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs (which combine priority levels and the set inclusion criterion), for which both AR and IAR query answering are "only" coNP-complete in data complexity.

Our second contribution is a practical approach to query
answering in DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$ under the $\mathrm{AR}, \subseteq_{P}$ - AR , and $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR semantics. We first show how to encode query answering under these three semantics in terms of propositional unsatisfiability, using a reachability analysis to reduce the size of the encodings. In the CQAPri system we have implemented, a subset of the query results is computed using incomplete tractable methods, and a SAT solver is used to determine the status of the remaining possible answers. An experimental evaluation demonstrates the scalability of the approach in settings we presume realistic. This positive empirical result is due in large part to the efficacy of the incomplete methods, which leave only few cases to be handled by the SAT solver.

Proofs and further details on the experiments can be found in the appendix.

## 2 Preliminaries

We briefly recall the syntax and semantics of description logics (DLs), and some relevant notions from complexity.
Syntax A DL knowledge base (KB) consists of an ABox and a TBox, both of which are constructed from a set $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ of concept names (unary predicates), a set of $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ of role names (binary predicates), and a set $\mathrm{N}_{\mathrm{I}}$ of individuals (constants). The ABox (dataset) consists of a finite number of concept assertions of the form $A(a)$ with $A \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}, a \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{I}}$ and role assertions of the form $R(a, b)$ with $R \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{R}}, a, b \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{I}}$. The TBox (ontology) consists of a set of axioms whose form depends on the DL in question.

In DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$, TBox axioms are either concept inclusions $B \sqsubseteq C$ or role inclusions $Q \sqsubseteq S$ formed using the following syntax (where $A \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ and $R \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ ):
$B:=A|\exists Q, C:=B| \neg B, Q:=R\left|R^{-}, S:=Q\right| \neg Q$
Semantics An interpretation has the form $\mathcal{I}=\left(\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}, \cdot^{\mathcal{I}}\right)$, where $\Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$ is a non-empty set and ${ }^{\mathcal{I}}$ maps each $a \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{I}}$ to $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$, each $A \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{C}}$ to $A^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$, and each $R \in \mathrm{~N}_{\mathrm{R}}$ to $R^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq \Delta^{\mathcal{I}} \times \Delta^{\mathcal{I}}$. The function ${ }^{\mathcal{I}}$ is straightforwardly extended to general concepts and roles, e.g. $\left(R^{-}\right)^{\mathcal{I}}=\{(c, d) \mid$ $\left.(d, c) \in R^{\mathcal{I}}\right\}$ and $(\exists Q)^{\mathcal{I}}=\left\{c \mid \exists d:(c, d) \in Q^{\mathcal{I}}\right\}$. An interpretation $\mathcal{I}$ satisfies an inclusion $G \sqsubseteq H$ if $G^{\mathcal{I}} \subseteq H^{\mathcal{I}}$; it satisfies $A(a)$ (resp. $R(a, b))$ if $a^{\mathcal{I}} \in A^{\overline{\mathcal{I}}}$ (resp. $\left(a^{\mathcal{I}}, b^{\mathcal{I}}\right) \in$ $R^{\mathcal{I}}$ ). An interpretation $\mathcal{I}$ is a model of $\mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ if $\mathcal{I}$ satisfies all inclusions in $\mathcal{T}$ and assertions in $\mathcal{A}$. A KB $\mathcal{K}$ is consistent if it has a model, and we say that an $\operatorname{ABox} \mathcal{A}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent if the $\mathrm{KB}\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ is consistent.
Queries Our main focus will be on conjunctive queries (CQs) which take the form $\exists \vec{y} \psi$, where $\psi$ is a conjunction of atoms of the forms $A(t)$ or $R\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$, where $t, t^{\prime}$ are variables or individuals, and $\vec{y}$ is a tuple of variables from $\psi$. A CQ is called Boolean if all of its variables are existentially quantified; a CQ consisting of a single atom is an atomic query (AQ). When we use the generic term query, we mean a CQ. A Boolean CQ $q$ is entailed from $\mathcal{K}$, written $\mathcal{K} \models q$, just in the case that $q$ holds in all models of $\mathcal{K}$. For a non-Boolean CQ $q$ with free variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{k}$, a tuple of individuals $\mathbf{a}=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{k}\right)$ is a (certain) answer for $q$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{K}$ just in the case that $\mathcal{K} \models q[\mathbf{a}]$, where $q[\mathbf{a}]$ is the Boolean query obtained by replacing each $x_{i}$ by $a_{i}$. Thus, CQ answering is straightforwardly reduced to entailment of Boolean CQs.

For this reason, we can focus w.l.o.g. on the latter problem.
Complexity There are two common ways of measuring the complexity of query entailment: combined complexity is with respect to the size of the whole input ( $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}, q$ ), whereas data complexity is only with respect to the size of $\mathcal{A}$.

In addition to the well-known complexity classes $\mathrm{P}, \mathrm{NP}$, and coNP, our results will involve the following classes in the polynomial hierarchy: $\Delta_{2}^{p}$ (polynomial time using an NP oracle), $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]$ (polynomial time with at most logarithmically many NP oracle calls), $\Sigma_{2}^{p}$ (non-deterministic polynomial time with an NP oracle) and its complement $\Pi_{2}^{p}$.

The following result resumes known results on the complexity of reasoning in DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$ under classical semantics.
Theorem 1 (Calvanese et al. 2007). In DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$, consistency and AQ entailment are in P w.r.t. combined complexity, and CQ entailment is in P w.r.t. data complexity and coNPcomplete w.r.t. combined complexity.

## 3 Preferred Repair Semantics

In this section, we recall two important inconsistencytolerant semantics and introduce variants of these semantics based upon different notions of preferred repairs. For simplicity, we state the definitions in terms of query entailment.
A central notion in inconsistency-tolerant query answering is that of a repair, which corresponds to a minimal way of modifying the ABox so as to restore consistency. Typically, minimality is defined in terms of set inclusion, yielding:
Definition 1. A repair of a $\mathrm{KB} \mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ is an inclusionmaximal subset of $\mathcal{A}$ that is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent.

Several inconsistency-tolerant semantics have been proposed based on this notion of repair. The most well-known, and arguably the most natural, is the AR semantics (Lembo et al. 2010), which was inspired by consistent query answering in relational databases (cf. (Bertossi 2011) for a survey).
Definition 2. A Boolean query $q$ is entailed by $\mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ under $A R$ semantics if $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B}\rangle \models q$ for every repair $\mathcal{B}$ of $\mathcal{K}$.

The intuition underlying the AR semantics is as follows. In the absence of further information, we cannot identify the "correct" repair, and so we only consider a query to be entailed if it can be obtained from each of the repairs.
The IAR semantics (Lembo et al. 2010) adopts an even more cautious approach: only assertions which belong to every repair (or equivalently, are not involved in any contradiction) are considered when answering the query.
Definition 3. A Boolean query $q$ is entailed by a $\mathrm{KB} \mathcal{K}=$ $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ under IAR semantics if $\left\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B}_{\cap}\right\rangle \models q$ where $\mathcal{B}_{\cap}$ is the intersection of all repairs of $\mathcal{K}$.

It is easy to see that every query that is entailed under IAR semantics is also entailed under AR semantics, but the converse does not hold in general.

The above notion of repair integrates a very simple preference relation, namely set inclusion. When additional information on the reliability of ABox assertions is available, it is natural to use this information to identify preferred repairs, and to use the latter as the basis of inconsistency-tolerant

(a) Data complexity

|  | $\subseteq$ | $\leq$ | $\subseteq_{P}$ | $\leq_{P}$ | $\leq_{w}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AR | $\Pi_{2}^{p}$ | $\Pi_{2}^{\mathrm{p}}$ | $\Pi_{2}^{\mathrm{p}}$ | $\Pi_{2}^{\mathrm{p}}$ | $\Pi_{2}^{\mathrm{p}}$ |
| IAR | NP | $\Delta_{2}^{\mathrm{p}}[\mathbf{O}(\log \mathrm{n})]$ | $\Delta_{2}^{\mathrm{p}}[\mathrm{O}(\log \mathrm{n})]$ | $\Delta_{2}^{\mathrm{p}^{\dagger}}$ | $\Delta_{2}^{\mathrm{p}^{\dagger}}$ |

(b) Combined complexity

Figure 1: Data and combined complexity of CQ entailment over DL-Lite KBs under AR and IAR semantics for different types of preferred repairs. For AQs, the data and combined complexity coincide with the data complexity for CQs. All results are completeness results unless otherwise noted. New results in bold. $\dagger \Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]$-complete under the assumption that there is a bound on the number of priority classes (resp. maximal weight).
reasoning. This idea leads us generalize the earlier definitions ${ }^{1}$, using preorders to model preference relations.
Definition 4. Let $\mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ be a KB , and let $\preceq$ be a preorder over subsets of $\mathcal{A}$. $\mathrm{A} \preceq$-repair of $\mathcal{K}$ is a $\mathcal{T}$-consistent subset of $\mathcal{A}$ which is maximal w.r.t. $\preceq$. The set of $\preceq$-repairs of $\mathcal{K}$ is denoted $R e p_{\preceq}(\mathcal{K})$.
Definition 5. A Boolean query $q$ is entailed by $\mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ under the $\preceq$-AR semantics, written $\mathcal{K} \models \preceq$-AR $q$, if $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B}\rangle \models$ $q$ for every $\mathcal{B} \in \operatorname{Rep} \underline{\Omega}(\mathcal{K})$; it is entailed by $\mathcal{K}$ under the $\preceq$ IAR semantics, written $\mathcal{K} \models \preceq$-IAR $q$, if $\left\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{B}_{\cap}\right\rangle \models q$ where $\mathcal{B}_{\cap}=\bigcap_{\mathcal{B} \in \operatorname{Rep} \mathrm{P}_{\preceq}(\mathcal{K})} \mathcal{B}$.

In this paper, we consider four standard ways of defining preferences over subsets, cf. (Eiter and Gottlob 1995).
Cardinality ( $\leq$ ) A first possibility is to compare subsets using set cardinality: $\mathcal{A}_{1} \leq \mathcal{A}_{2}$ iff $\left|\mathcal{A}_{1}\right| \leq\left|\mathcal{A}_{2}\right|$. The resulting notion of $\leq$-repair is appropriate when all assertions are believed to have the same (small) likelihood of being erroneous, in which case repairs with the largest number of assertions are most likely to be correct.
Priority levels $\left(\subseteq_{P}, \leq_{P}\right)$ We next consider the case in which ABox assertions have been partitioned into priority levels $\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n}$ based on their perceived reliability, with assertions in $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ considered most reliable, and those in $\mathcal{P}_{n}$ least reliable. Such a prioritization can be used to separate a part of the dataset that has already been validated from more recent additions. Alternatively, one might stratify assertions based upon the concept or role names they use (when some predicates are known to be more reliable), or the data sources from which they originate (in information integration applications). Given a prioritization $P=\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n}\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{A}$, we can refine the $\subseteq$ and $\leq$ preorders as follows:

- Prioritized set inclusion: $\mathcal{A}_{1} \subseteq_{P} \mathcal{A}_{2}$ iff $\mathcal{A}_{1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}=\mathcal{A}_{2} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, or there is some $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\mathcal{A}_{1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i} \subsetneq \mathcal{A}_{2} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}$ and for all $1 \leq j<i, \mathcal{A}_{1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{j}=$ $\mathcal{A}_{2} \cap \mathcal{P}_{j}$.
- Prioritized cardinality: $\mathcal{A}_{1} \leq_{P} \mathcal{A}_{2}$ iff $\left|\mathcal{A}_{1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}\right|=\mid \mathcal{A}_{2} \cap$ $\mathcal{P}_{i} \mid$ for every $1 \leq i \leq n$, or there is some $1 \leq i \leq n$ such that $\left|\mathcal{A}_{1} \cap \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{i}\right|<\left|\mathcal{A}_{2} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}\right|$ and for all $1 \leq j<i$, $\left|\mathcal{A}_{1} \cap \mathcal{P}_{j}\right|=\left|\mathcal{A}_{2} \cap \mathcal{P}_{j}\right|$.
Notice that a single assertion on level $\mathcal{P}_{i}$ is preferred to any number of assertions from $\mathcal{P}_{i+1}$, so these preorders are best

[^0]suited for cases in which there is a significant difference in the perceived reliability of adjacent priority levels.
Weights $\left(\leq_{w}\right)$ The reliability of different assertions can be modelled quantitatively using a function $w: \mathcal{A} \rightarrow \mathbb{N}$ assigning weights to the ABox assertions. The weight function $w$ induces a preorder $\leq_{w}$ over subsets of $\mathcal{A}$ in the expected way: $\mathcal{A}_{1} \leq_{w} \mathcal{A}_{2}$ iff $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{1}} w(\alpha) \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}_{2}} w(\alpha)$. If the ABox is populated using information extraction techniques, the weights may be derived from the confidence levels output by the extraction tool. Weight-based preorders can also be used in place of the $\leq_{P}$ preorder to allow for compensation between the priority levels.

## 4 Complexity Results

In this section, we study the complexity of query entailment under preferred repair semantics. We focus on knowledge bases formulated in DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$, since it is a popular DL for OBDA applications and the basis for OWL 2 QL (Motik et al. 2012). However, many of our results hold also for other DLs and ontology languages (see end of section).

Figure 1 recalls existing results for query entailment under the standard AR and IAR semantics and presents our new results for the different preferred repair semantics.

## Theorem 2. The results in Figure 1 hold.

Proof idea. The upper bounds for AR-based semantics involve guessing a preferred repair that does not entail the query; for the IAR-based semantics, we guess preferred repairs that omit some ABox assertions and verify that the query is not entailed from the remaining assertions. For the lower bounds, we were able to adapt some proofs from ( $\mathrm{Bi}-$ envenu 2012; Bienvenu and Rosati 2013); the $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log n)]$ lower bounds proved the most challenging and required significant extensions of existing reductions.

Let us briefly comment on the obtained results. Concerning data complexity, we observe that for preferred repairs, the IAR semantics is just as difficult as the AR semantics. This is due to the fact that there is no simple way of computing the intersection of preferred repairs, whereas this task is straightforward for $\subseteq$-repairs. However, if we consider combined complexity, we see that the IAR semantics still retains some computational advantage over AR semantics. This lower complexity translates into a concrete practical advantage: for the IAR semantics, one can precompute the intersection of repairs in an offline phase and then utilize standard querying algorithms at query time, whereas no such
precomputation is possible for the AR semantics. Finally, if we compare the different types of preferred repairs, we find that the $\subseteq_{P}$ preorder leads to the lowest complexity, and $\leq_{P}$ and $\leq_{w}$ the greatest. However, under the reasonable assumption that there is a bound on the number of priority classes (resp. maximal weight), we obtain the same complexity for the semantics based on $\leq-, \leq_{P^{-}}$and $\leq_{w}$-repairs.

We should point out that the only properties of DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$ which are used in the upper bound proofs are those stated in Theorem 1. Consequently, our combined complexity upper bounds apply to all ontology languages having polynomial combined complexity for consistency and instance checking and NP combined complexity for CQ entailment, and in particular to the OWL 2 EL profile (Motik et al. 2012). Our data complexity upper bounds apply to all data-tractable ontology languages, which includes Horn DLs (Hustadt, Motik, and Sattler 2007; Eiter et al. 2008) and several dialects of Datalog +/- (Calì, Gottlob, and Lukasiewicz 2012).

## 5 Query Answering via Reduction to SAT

In this section, we show how to answer queries over DLLite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$ KBs under $\subseteq_{P}$-AR and $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR semantics by translation to propositional unsatisfiability. We chose to focus on $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs as they offer the lowest complexity among the different forms of preferred repairs, and seem natural from the point of view of applications.

To simplify the presentation of our encodings, we introduce the notions of conflicts of a KB and causes of a query.
Definition 6. A conflict for $\mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ is a minimal $\mathcal{T}$ inconsistent subset of $\mathcal{A}$. A cause for a Boolean $\mathrm{CQ} q$ is a minimal $\mathcal{T}$-consistent subset $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{C}\rangle \models q$.
Fact 1. In DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$, conflicts have cardinality at most two.
The encodings presented in this section use variables to represent ABox assertions, so that each valuation corresponds to a subset of the ABox. In the case of the $\subseteq_{P}$ - AR semantics, the most obvious encoding would stipulate that the subset corresponding to a valuation (i) contains no cause for $q$, (ii) is maximal w.r.t. $\subseteq_{P}$, and (iii) contains no conflicts. However, such an encoding would contain as many variables as ABox facts, even though most of the ABox may be irrelevant for answering the query at hand.

In order to identify potentially relevant assertions, we introduce the notion of an oriented conflict graph (inspired by the conflict hypergraphs from (Chomicki, Marcinkowski, and Staworko 2004)). In what follows, we use $\alpha \preceq_{P} \beta$ to signify that there exist $i \leq j$ such that $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{i}$ and $\bar{\beta} \in \mathcal{P}_{j}$.
Definition 7. Let $\mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ be a DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}} \mathrm{KB}$ and $P$ be a prioritization of $\mathcal{A}$. The oriented conflict graph for $\mathcal{K}$ and $P$, denoted $G_{\mathcal{K}}^{P}$, is the directed graph whose set of vertices is $\mathcal{A}$ and which has an edge from $\beta$ to $\alpha$ whenever $\alpha \preceq_{P} \beta$ and $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a conflict for $\mathcal{K}$.

We now give a more succinct encoding, which can be seen as selecting a set of assertions which contradict all of the query's causes (thereby ensuring that no cause is present), and verifying that this set can be extended to a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair. Importantly, to check the latter, it suffices to consider only those assertions that are reachable in $G_{\mathcal{K}}^{P}$ from an assertion that contradicts some cause.

Theorem 3. Let $q$ be a Boolean $C Q, \mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ be a $D L-$ Lite $_{\mathcal{R}} K B$, and $P=\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n}\right\rangle$ be a prioritization of $\mathcal{A}$. Consider the following propositional formulas having variables of the form $x_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\neg q} & =\bigwedge_{\mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{causes}(q)}\left(\bigvee_{\substack{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}}} \bigvee_{\substack{\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha) \\
\beta \preceq_{P} \alpha}} x_{\beta}\right) \\
\varphi_{\max } & =\bigwedge_{\alpha \in R_{q}}\left(x_{\alpha} \vee \bigvee_{\substack{\beta \in \operatorname{confl}^{\beta \preceq_{P} \alpha}}} x_{\beta}\right) \\
\varphi_{\text {cons }} & =\bigwedge_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in R_{q} \\
\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha)}} \neg x_{\alpha} \vee \neg x_{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where causes $(q)$ contains all causes for $q$ in $\mathcal{K}, \operatorname{confl}(\alpha)$ contains all assertions $\beta$ such that $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a conflict for $\mathcal{K}$, and $R_{q}$ is the set of assertions reachable in $G_{\mathcal{K}}^{P}$ from some assertion $\beta$ such that $x_{\beta}$ appears in $\varphi_{\neg^{2}}$. Then $\mathcal{K} \models \preceq_{P-A R} q$ iff $\varphi_{\neg q} \wedge \varphi_{\max } \wedge \varphi_{\text {cons }}$ is unsatisfiable.

We observe that for the plain AR semantics, we can further simplify the encoding by dropping the formula $\varphi_{\text {max }}$.

For the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR semantics, a query is not entailed just in the case that every cause is absent from some $\subseteq_{P}$-repair. This can be tested by using one SAT instance per cause.
Theorem 4. Let $q, \mathcal{K}, P$, causes $(q)$, and $\operatorname{confl}(\alpha)$ be as in Theorem 3. For each $\mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{causes}(q)$, consider the formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\neg \mathcal{C}} & =\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} \bigvee_{\substack{\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha) \\
\beta \preceq_{P} \alpha}} x_{\beta} \\
\varphi_{\max }^{\mathcal{C}} & =\bigwedge_{\alpha \in R_{\mathcal{C}}}\left(x_{\alpha} \vee \bigvee_{\substack{\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha) \\
\beta \preceq_{P} \alpha}} x_{\beta}\right) \\
\varphi_{\text {cons }}^{\mathcal{C}} & =\bigwedge_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in R_{\mathcal{C}} \\
\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha)}} \neg x_{\alpha} \vee \neg x_{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the set of assertions reachable in $G_{\mathcal{K}}^{P}$ from some assertion $\beta$ such that $x_{\beta}$ appears in $\varphi_{\neg \mathcal{C}}$. Then $\mathcal{K} \models_{\subseteq_{P-I A R} q}$ iff there exists $\mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{causes}(q)$ such that the formula $\varphi_{\neg \mathcal{C}} \wedge$ $\varphi_{\text {max }}^{\mathcal{C}} \wedge \varphi_{\text {cons }}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is unsatisfiable.

The above encodings can be used to answer non-Boolean queries using the standard reduction to the Boolean case: a tuple a is an answer to a non-Boolean CQ $q$ iff the Boolean query $q[\mathbf{a}]$ is entailed under the considered semantics.

## 6 Experimental Evaluation

We implemented our query answering framework in Java v1.7 within our CQAPri ("Consistent Query Answering with Priorities") tool. CQAPri is built on top of the relational database server PostgreSQL v9.2.4 (www.postgresql. org), the Rapid v1.0 query rewriting engine for DL-Lite (Chortaras, Trivela, and Stamou 2011), and the SAT4J v2.3.4 SAT solver (Berre and Parrain 2010). All these building blocks were used with their default settings.

CQAPri stores the ABox in PostgreSQL, while it keeps the TBox in-memory together with the pre-computed set of conflicts for the KB. Conflicts are computed by evaluating

| ABox id | \#ABox | \%conflicts | avg conflicts | o.c. graph (ms) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| u1p15e-4 | 75708 | 2.05 | 0.04 | 3844 |
| u1p5e-2 | 76959 | 30.97 | 1.03 | 4996 |
| u1p2e-1 | 80454 | 57.99 | 3.96 | 6224 |
| u5p15e-4 | 499674 | 1.70 | 0.03 | 19073 |
| u5p5e-2 | 507713 | 33.12 | 1.21 | 24600 |
| u5p2e-1 | 531607 | 58.29 | 4.29 | 32087 |
| u10p15e-4 | 930729 | 2.37 | 0.05 | 33516 |
| u10p5e-2 | 945450 | 33.92 | 1.31 | 43848 |
| u10p2e-1 | 988882 | 58.89 | 4.86 | 62028 |
| u20p15e-4 | 1982922 | 2.64 | 0.05 | 95659 |
| u20p5e-2 | 2014129 | 33.91 | 1.6 | 122805 |
| u20p2e-1 | 2103366 | 58.78 | 5.49 | 192450 |

Table 1: ABoxes in terms of size, percentage of assertions in conflicts, average number of conflicts per assertion, and time to compute the oriented conflict graph.
over the ABox the SQLized rewritings of the queries looking for counter-examples to the negative TBox inclusions. They are stored as an oriented conflict graph (Definition 7), built from a single priority level for the IAR and AR semantics, and multiple levels for the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR and $\subseteq_{P}$-AR semantics.

When a query arrives, CQAPri evaluates it over the ABox using its SQLized rewriting, to obtain its possible answers and their causes. Possible answers define a superset of the answers holding under the IAR, AR, $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR, and $\subseteq_{P}$-AR semantics. Among the possible answers, CQAPri identifies the IAR ones, or an approximation of the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR ones, by checking whether there is some cause whose assertions have no outgoing edges in the oriented conflict graph. For those possible answers that are not found to be IAR answers, resp. in the approximation of the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR answers, deciding whether they are entailed under the AR semantics, resp. the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR and $\subseteq_{P}$-AR semantics, is done using the SAT encodings from the preceding section.

## Experimental setting

We give here a general overview of our experimental setting. More details can be found in the appendix.
TBox and Datasets We considered the modified LUBM benchmark from Lutz et al. (2013), which provides the DLLite $_{\mathcal{R}}$ version $\operatorname{LUBM}_{20}^{\exists}$ of the original LUBM $\mathcal{E} \mathcal{L I}$ TBox, and the Extended University Data Generator (EUDG) v0.1a (both available at www.informatik.uni-bremen. de/~clu/combined). We extended LUBM ${ }_{20}^{\exists}$ with negative inclusions, to allow for contradictions. Inconsistencies in the ABox were introduced by contradicting the presence of an individual in a concept assertion with probability $p$, and the presence of each individual in a role assertion with probability $p / 2$. Additionally, for every role assertion, its individuals are switched with probability $p / 10$. Prioritizations of ABox were made to capture a variety of scenarios.

Table 1 describes the ABoxes we used for our experiments. Every ABox's id uXpY indicates the number X of universities generated by EUDG and the probability value $Y$ of $p$ for adding inconsistencies as explained above ( $\mathrm{Me}-\mathrm{P}$ reads $M .10^{-P}$ ). We chose the values for X and Y so as to have ABoxes of size varying from small to large, and a number of conflicts ranging from a value we found realistic up to values challenging our approach. We built 8 prioritizations

| Query id | shape | \#atoms | \#vars | \#rews | rew time (ms) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| req2 | chain | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 |
| req3 | dag | 6 | 3 | 23 | 4 |
| g2 | atomic | 1 | 1 | 44 | 0 |
| 93 | atomic | 1 | 1 | 44 | 0 |
| q1 | chain | 2 | 2 | 80 | 0 |
| q2 | chain | 2 | 2 | 44 | 15 |
| q4 | dag | 7 | 6 | 25 | 16 |
| Lutz1 | dag | 8 | 4 | 3887 | 328 |
| Lutz5 | tree | 5 | 3 | 667 | 16 |

Table 2: Queries in terms of shape, numbers of atoms variables, number of rewritings, and rewriting time (Rapid).
for each of these ABoxes further denoted by the id of the ABox it derives from, and a suffix first indicating the number of priority levels and then how these levels were chosen. lZdW indicates the number $Z$ of priority levels: 3 and 10 in our experiments, and the distribution W : $\mathrm{cr}=, \mathrm{a}^{=}, \mathrm{cr}^{\neq}$, or $a \neq$ indicates whether priority levels were chosen per concept/role (cr) or assertion (a), and whether choosing between these levels was equiprobable $\left({ }^{=}\right)$or not $(\neq)$.
Queries We used the queries described in Table 2 for our experiments. Some queries were borrowed from LUBM-based experiments found in the literature: Lutz1 and Lutz5 come from (Lutz et al. 2013), and req2 and req3 are from (Pérez-Urbina, Horrocks, and Motik 2009). The other queries we designed ourselves. They show a variety of structural aspects and rewriting sizes; they yield enough possible answers to observe the behavior of the considered semantics.

## Experimental results

We report here on the general tendencies we observed. The main conclusion is that our approach scales for the considered semantics when the proportion of conflicting assertions is a few percent, as is likely to be the case in most real applications. Further details can be found in the appendix.
IAR and AR query answering We observed that the AR semantics only adds a limited number of new answers compared to the IAR semantics. For $60 \%$ of our ABox and query pairs, AR does not provide any additional answers, and it provides at most as many new answers as IAR ones.

Also, for a given number of universities (i.e., size), when the proportion of conflicting assertions increases, the number of IAR answers decreases, while the number of AR nonIAR and of possible non-AR ones increases. Such an increase significantly augments the time spent identifying AR non-IAR answers using the SAT solver, as exemplified in Figure 2 [left]. It explains that the lower the probability for generating conflicts, the more AR query answering times show a linear behavior w.r.t. ABox size (i.e., scales), up to the $u \times p 15 e-4$ and $u X p 5 e-2$ ABoxes in our experiments. $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR and $\subseteq_{P}$-AR query answering Similarly to above, the $\subseteq_{P}$ - AR semantics adds a limited number of answers compared to the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR semantics. Moreover, in most cases, the approximation of $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR using the ordered conflict graph identifies a large share of the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR answers.

We also observed that adding prioritizations to the ABoxes complicates query answering, and using 3 priority levels typically led to harder instances than using 10 levels.


Figure 2: [left] Proportion of time spent by CQAPri to get the possible, IAR, and AR answers on two ABoxes [right] Time (in sec.) spent by CQAPri for AR and $\subseteq_{P}-\mathrm{AR}$ query answering on two sets of ABoxes (in millions of assertions)

Our approach scales up to the $u X p 15 e-41 Y d Z$ set of ABoxes, as answering queries against them requires in most cases less than twice the time observed for the (plain) AR semantics. Figure 2 [right] exemplifies the observed trend for the uXp5e-2lYdz ABoxes, where the use of priority levels significantly increases runtimes, as well as the number of queries running out of memory or producing a time-out.

## 7 Related Work

The closest related work is that of (Du, Qi, and Shen 2013) who study query answering under $\leq_{w}$-AR semantics for the expressive DL $\mathcal{S H I} \mathcal{Q}$. They focus on ground CQs, as such queries are better-supported by $\mathcal{S H I} \mathcal{Z}$ reasoners. By contrast, we work with DL-Lite and can thus use query rewriting techniques to handle CQs with existential variables. We also consider IAR-based semantics and other types of preferred repairs that are not considered by Du et al.

Also related is the work on preference-based semantics for querying databases that violate integrity constraints. In (Lopatenko and Bertossi 2007), the authors study the complexity of query answering in the presence of denial constraints under the $\leq-\mathrm{AR}$ and $\leq_{w}$ - AR semantics. Because of the difference in setting, we could not transfer their complexity results to DL-Lite. Three further preferencebased semantics are proposed in (Staworko, Chomicki, and Marcinkowski 2012), based upon partially ordering the assertions that appear together in a conflict. If such an ordering is induced from an ABox prioritization, then the three semantics all coincide with our $\subseteq_{P}$-AR semantics.

More generally, we note that the problem of reasoning on preferred subsets has been studied in a number of other areas of AI, such as abduction, belief change, argumentation, and non-monotonic reasoning, see (Eiter and Gottlob 1995; Nebel 1998; Amgoud and Vesic 2011; Brewka, Niemelä, and Truszczynski 2008) and references therein.

Several recent works, including (Rosati 2011; Bienvenu 2012; Bienvenu and Rosati 2013), study the complexity of query answering under IAR and AR semantics. We extend this line of work by providing complexity results for variants of the IAR and AR semantics based upon preferred repairs. In some cases, we are able to adapt proof ideas from the preference-free case, but the addition of preferences also required non-trivial modifications and new ideas.

In terms of implemented tools, we are aware of two systems for inconsistency-tolerant query answering over DL

KBs: the system of Du et al. (2013) for querying $\mathcal{S H I \mathcal { Q }}$ KBs under $\leq_{w}$-AR semantics, and the QuID system (Rosati et al. 2012) that handles IAR semantics (without preferences) and DL-Lite $\mathcal{A}_{\mathcal{A}}$ KBs. Neither system is directly comparable to our own, since they employ different semantics. We can observe some high-level similarities with Du et al.'s system which also employs SAT solvers and uses a reachability analysis to identify a query-relevant portion of the KB.

There are also a few systems for querying inconsistent relational databases. Most relevant to our work is the EQUIP system (Kolaitis, Pema, and Tan 2013), which reduces AR query answering in the presence of denial constraints to binary integer programming (BIP). We considered using BIP for our own system, but our early experiments comparing the two approaches revealed better performances of the SATbased approach on difficult problem instances.

## 8 Concluding Remarks

Existing inconsistency-tolerant semantics for ontologybased data access are based upon a notion of repair that makes no assumptions about the relative reliability of ABox assertions. When information on the reliability of assertions is available, it can be exploited to identify preferred repairs and improve the quality of the query results. While this idea has been explored before in various settings, there had been no systematic study of the computational properties of preferred repairs for important lightweight DLs like DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$. We addressed this gap in the literature by providing a thorough analysis that established the data and combined complexity of answering conjunctive and atomic queries under AR- and IAR-based semantics combined with four types of preferred repairs. Unsurprisingly, the results are mainly negative, showing that adding preferences increases complexity. However, they also demonstrate that IAR-based semantics retain some advantage over AR-based semantics and identify $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs as the most computationally well-behaved.

Prior work on inconsistency-tolerant querying in DL-Lite left open whether the IAR constitutes a good approximation, or whether the AR semantics can be feasibly computed in practice. Encouraged by the performance of modern-day SAT solvers and recent positive results from the database arena, we proposed a practical SAT-based approach for query answering in DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}$ under the $\mathrm{AR}, \subseteq_{P}$-IAR, and $\subseteq_{P}$-AR semantics, which we implemented in our CQAPri system. Our experiments show that CQAPri scales up to
large ABoxes for the $\mathrm{IAR} / \mathrm{AR}$ and $\subseteq_{P}-\mathrm{IAR} / \subseteq_{P}$ - AR semantics, when the number of conflicting assertions varies from a few percent (for all of these semantics) to a few tens of percent (only for IAR/AR). We thus show that the AR semantics can be computed in practice and that this is due to the fact the IAR semantics often constitutes a very good approximation of the AR semantics. In a similar vein, we observed that our simple approximation of the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR semantics often produced a large share of the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR answers, which themselves constituted a large portion of the $\subseteq_{P}$-AR answers.

Our long-term goal is to equip CQAPri with a portfolio of query answering techniques and an optimizer that selects the most appropriate technique for the query at hand. To this end, we have started exploring other techniques for the $\subseteq_{P^{-}}$ based semantics that are much more efficient on the kinds of queries easily producing timeouts or running out of memory.
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## 9 Illustrative Example

We consider a simple knowledge base $\mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ describing the university domain. The TBox

```
\(\mathcal{T}=\{\) Student \(\sqsubseteq\) Person, Professor \(\sqsubseteq\) Person,
    Student \(\sqsubseteq \neg\) Professor, \(\exists\) teacherOf \(\sqsubseteq\) Professor,
    \(\exists\) teacherOf \({ }^{-} \sqsubseteq\) Course, Course \(\sqsubseteq \neg\) Person \(\}\)
```

specifies that students and professors are persons, but students are not professors. The role teacherOf has Professor as domain and Course as range. Finally, courses are not persons. From the ABox

```
A ={Student(Tom), Student(Bob),
    teacherOf(Bob, Math), teacherOf(Bob, Bio),
    Student(Joe), Professor(Joe), teacherOf(Bio,Joe),
    teacherOf(Joe, Physics)}
```

and the inclusions in $\mathcal{T}$, we can infer that $B o b$ is both a student and professor, and Joe is a person and course.

The KB is inconsistent as both negative inclusions of $\mathcal{T}$ are violated. The repairs of $\mathcal{K}$ are:

```
\(\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}=\{\operatorname{Student}(\) Tom \()\), Student \((\) Bob \()\), Student \((\) Joe \()\}\)
\(\mathcal{A}_{2}^{\prime}=\{\operatorname{Student}(\) Tom \()\), teacherOf(Bob, Math \()\),
    teacherOf(Bob, Bio), Student(Joe)\}
\(\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\prime}=\{\operatorname{Student}(\) Tom \()\), Student (Bob), Professor \((J o e)\),
    teacherOf(Joe, Physics) \(\}\)
\(\mathcal{A}_{4}^{\prime}=\{\operatorname{Student}(\) Tom \()\), teacherOf(Bob, Math \()\),
    teacherOf (Bob, Bio), Professor(Joe),
    teacherOf(Joe, Physics) \(\}\)
\(\mathcal{A}_{5}^{\prime}=\{\) Student \((\) Tom \()\), Student \((\) Bob \()\), teacherOf(Bio, Joe \(\left.)\right\}\)
\(\mathcal{A}_{6}^{\prime}=\{\operatorname{Student}(\) Tom \()\), teacherOf \((\) Bob, Math \()\),
    teacherOf \((\) Bob, Bio \()\), teacherOf \((\) Bio, Joe \()\}\)
```

We observe that the query Person $(B o b)$ is entailed under the AR semantics, since it can be inferred from every repair together with the TBox, but it is not entailed under the IAR semantics, as the interesection of the repairs does not contain any assertion concerning Bob. By contrast, the assertion Student (Tom) appears in every repair and hence is entailed under both the AR and IAR semantics.

By moving to preferred repair semantics, we can obtain further answers. First suppose we adopt the cardinality criterion. Then there is a single $\leq$-repair: $\mathcal{A}_{4}^{\prime}$. Queries Professor (Bob) and Professor(Joe) are entailed under the $\leq-I A R ~ s e m a n t i c s$, while they were not entailed under plain AR semantics.

Next suppose we have the following prioritization $\mathcal{P}=$ $\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{P}_{1}= & \{\text { Student }(\text { Tom }), \text { Student }(\text { Bob }), \text { Student }(\text { Joe }), \\
& \text { Professor }(\text { Joe })\} \\
\mathcal{P}_{2}= & \{\text { teacherOf }(\text { Bob }, \text { Math }), \text { teacherOf }(\text { Bob }, \text { Bio }), \\
& \text { teacherOf }(\text { Joe }, \text { Physics })\}
\end{aligned}
$$

The $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs are $\mathcal{A}_{1}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\prime}$, and there is only one $\leq_{P^{-}}$ repair, namely $\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\prime}$. Notice that $\operatorname{Student}(B o b)$ is entailed under the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR and $\leq_{P}$-IAR semantics, whereas it was not entailed under plain AR semantics, and it conflicts with
an assertion entailed under $\leq$-IAR semantics. The assertion Professor $(J o e)$ is entailed under $\leq_{P}$-IAR semantics, but only Person ( $J o e$ ) is entailed under $\subseteq_{P}$-AR semantics.

Finally, if we assign assertions in $\mathcal{P}_{1}$ a weight of 2 , and assertions of $\mathcal{P}_{2}$ a weight of 1 , we obtain two $\leq{ }_{w}$-repairs: $\mathcal{A}_{3}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{4}^{\prime}$. Under $\leq_{w}$-AR semantics, neither Professor $(B o b)$ nor Student $(B o b)$ is entailed, but only Person $(B o b)$. Under $\leq_{w}$-IAR semantics, Professor(Joe) is entailed.

## 10 Proof of Theorem 2

We break the proof of Theorem 2 down into several propositions. We first consider combined complexity and the AR family of semantics.
Proposition 1. Regarding combined complexity, CQ entailment over DL-Lite KBs is $\Pi_{2}^{p}$-complete under $\leq-A R, \subseteq_{P^{-}}$ $A R, \leq_{P}-A R$, and $\leq_{w}-A R$ semantics.

Proof. First, we observe that for all four notions of preferred repair, it is possible to test in coNP whether a given set constitutes a preferred repair. Indeed, if a consistent subset of the ABox is not a repair, then this is witnessed by another consistent subset which is preferred to it. Thus, nonentailment of a $\mathrm{CQ} q$ from a $\mathrm{KB} \mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ can be shown by guessing a subset $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and using an NP oracle to verify that (i) $\mathcal{B}$ is a preferred repair of $\mathcal{K}$, and (ii) $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle \notin q$.

For the lower bounds, we note that the proof of $\Pi_{2}^{p}$ hardness of CQ entailment under plain AR semantics from (Bienvenu and Rosati 2013)can be reused for the $\leq$-AR semantics, since the $\leq$-repairs and $\subseteq$-repairs coincide for the KBs employed in that reduction. The lower bounds for the other semantics follow immediately.

We next turn to the data complexity of query entailment under the different AR-based semantics.

Proposition 2. Regarding data complexity, $A Q$ and $C Q$ entailment over DL-Lite KBs are coNP-complete under the $\subseteq_{P}-A R$ semantics. For $A Q s$, we obtain coNP-completeness also for combined complexity.

Proof. We observe that it can be tested in polynomial time (w.r.t. combined complexity) whether a subset $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ is $\mathrm{a} \subseteq_{P}$-repair. This can be done by first verifying that $\mathcal{B}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent and then for $1 \leq i \leq k$, checking that it is not possible to add an assertion belonging to $\mathcal{P}_{i} \backslash \mathcal{B}$ to $\mathcal{B} \cap$ $\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{P}_{i}\right)$ while staying $\mathcal{T}$-consistent. It follows that in the procedure from the proof of Proposition 1, properties (i) and (ii) can be verified in P w.r.t. data complexity, yielding a coNP upper bound for CQ entailment. Finally, we note that in the case of AQs, property (ii) can be checked in P w.r.t. combined complexity, and so we obtain a coNP upper bound also w.r.t. combined complexity.

The lower bound follows from the coNP-hardness of AQ entailment under the standard AR semantics (Lembo et al. 2010).

For the $\leq_{P}$-AR and $\leq_{w}$-AR semantics, we distinguish two cases, depending on whether the maximal number of priority classes (resp. maximal weight) is considered to be fixed independently of the ABox or is counted as part of
the input. The former assumption is made in ( $\mathrm{Du}, \mathrm{Qi}$, and Shen 2013), where a $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]$ upper bound is given for the expressive $\operatorname{DL} \mathcal{S H} \mathcal{I} \mathcal{Q}$ that contains DL-Lite $_{\mathcal{R}}$ as a fragment. Du et al. 2013 also give a $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]$ lower bound for atomic queries, but the proof uses constructs that are unavailable in DL-Lite. Likewise, the proof of $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]-$ hardness for atomic queries under the $\leq$-AR semantics from (Lopatenko and Bertossi 2007) utilizes denial constraints that cannot be expressed in DL-Lite.
Proposition 3. Regarding data complexity, $A Q$ and $C Q$ entailment over DL-Lite KBs are:

- $\Delta_{2}^{p}$-complete for the $\leq_{P}-A R$ and $\leq_{w}-A R$ semantics,
- $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log n)]$-complete for the $\leq-A R$ semantics, and for the $\leq_{P}-A R$ and $\leq_{w}-A R$ semantics, if there is an ABoxindependent bound on the number of priority classes (resp. maximal weight).

For AQs, these results also hold w.r.t. combined complexity.
For the proof of Proposition 3 and later propositions, we will leverage the following result, which demonstrates how the semantics based upon the prioritized cardinality preference relation can be recast in terms of weight functions.
Lemma 1. (Adapted from (Eiter and Gottlob 1995)) Let $P=\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{k}\right\rangle$ be a prioritization of $\mathcal{A}$, let $u=$ $\left(\max _{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i}\right|\right)+1$, and let $w$ be defined by: $w(\alpha)=u^{k-i}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{i}$. Then the set of $\leq_{P}$-repairs of $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ coincides with the set of $\leq_{w}$-repairs of $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$, for every TBox $\mathcal{T}$.

The proof of Proposition 3 will also make frequent use of the following characterization of $\leq$-repairs.
Lemma 2. A subset $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ is $a \leq$-repair of $\mathcal{A}$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}$ if and only if $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent and there do not exist subsets $X$ of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $Y$ of $\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ such that $|Y|>|X|$ and $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash X\right) \cup Y$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent.

Proof. For the first direction, let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be in $\operatorname{Rep}<(\mathcal{K})$. Suppose for a contradiction that there exist a subset $\bar{X}$ of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and a subset $Y$ of $\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ such that $|Y|>|X|$ and $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash X\right) \cup Y$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash X\right) \cup Y$. Then $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ is a $\mathcal{T}$ consistent subset of $\mathcal{A}$ and $\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right|=\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right|-|X|+|Y|$, so $\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right|>\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right|$. Hence, $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is not a $\leq$-repair.

For the other direction, let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be a $\mathcal{T}$-consistent subset of $\mathcal{A}$ such that there does not exist any subset $X$ of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ such that there exists a subset $Y$ of $\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ such that $|Y|>|X|$ and $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash X\right) \cup Y$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent. Suppose for a contradiction that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \notin \operatorname{Re} p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime} \in \operatorname{Re} p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$. Since $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is not a s-repair, $\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right|^{>}>\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right|$. Let $X=\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ and $Y=\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Then $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash X\right) \cup Y=\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent and $|Y|=\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right|-$ $\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right|$ and $|X|=\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right|-\left|\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}\right|$, so $|Y|>|X|$. Hence $X, Y$ contradict the assumption. It follows that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in$ $R e p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$.

We are now ready to give the proof of Proposition 3.

## Proof of Proposition 3.

Upper bounds. For the $\leq_{w}$-AR semantics, we use the following procedure to decide whether $\mathcal{K} \not \vDash_{\leq_{w} \text {-AR }} q$ :

1. Compute the weight $u_{\text {rep }}$ of $\leq_{w}$-repairs by binary search, calling the NP oracle to determine whether there exists a $\mathcal{T}$-consistent subset $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}} w(\alpha) \geq u$ where $u$ is the input.
2. Call the NP oracle to determine whether there exists $\mathcal{B} \subseteq$ $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{T}$-consistent and such that $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}} w(\alpha)=u_{\text {rep }}$ and $\langle\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}\rangle \not \models q$. Return "not entailed" if the call succeeds.
This procedure yields membership in $\Delta_{2}^{p}$ for the general case. If there is an ABox-independent bound $b$ on the maximal value of $w$, then $u_{\text {rep }} \leq \sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{A}} w(\alpha) \leq b|\mathcal{A}|$, where $b$ is treated as a constant. It follows that the procedure requires only logarithmically many oracle calls, yielding an improved upper bound of $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]$. Note that in the case of AQs, we can test $\langle\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{T}\rangle \not \vDash q$ in polynomial time w.r.t. combined complexity, so these upper bounds apply also w.r.t. combined complexity.

We can derive the upper bounds for the $\leq_{P}$-AR semantics by applying Lemma 1 . Note that when there is a bound $k$ on the number of priority levels, then this implies a polynomial bound of $\left(\max _{i=1}^{k}\left|\mathcal{P}_{i}\right|+1\right)^{k-1} \leq(|\mathcal{A}|+1)^{k-1}$ on the maximal weight of the corresponding weight function, and so the $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]$ upper bound applies. This holds in particular when $k=1$, i.e., for the $\leq-\mathrm{AR}$ semantics.

## $\Delta_{2}^{p}$ lower bound for AQs under $\leq_{P}$-AR semantics.

The proof is by reduction from the following $\Delta_{2}^{P}$-complete problem, cf. (Krentel 1988): given a satisfiable 3CNF formula $\varphi=c_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge c_{m}$ over variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$, decide whether the lexicographically maximum truth assignment satisfying $\varphi$ with respect to $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$, denoted by $\nu_{\max }$, fulfills $\nu_{\max }\left(x_{n}\right)=$ true. We encode this problem as a $\leq_{P^{-}}$ AR query entailment problem as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}= & \left\{T \sqsubseteq \neg \exists N_{\ell}^{-} \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq 3\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{\exists P_{\ell} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists N_{\ell^{\prime}}, \exists P_{\ell}^{-} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists N_{\ell^{\prime}}^{-} \mid 1 \leq \ell, \ell^{\prime} \leq 3\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{\exists P_{\ell} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists P_{\ell^{\prime}}, \exists N_{\ell} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists N_{\ell^{\prime}} \mid 1 \leq \ell \neq \ell^{\prime} \leq 3\right\} \\
\mathcal{A}= & \left\{T\left(x_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{P_{\ell}\left(c_{j}, x_{i}\right) \mid x_{i} \text { is the } \ell^{t h} \text { literal of } c_{j}\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{N_{\ell}\left(c_{j}, x_{i}\right) \mid \neg x_{i} \text { is the } \ell^{t h} \text { literal of } c_{j}\right\} \\
q= & T\left(x_{n}\right) . .
\end{aligned}
$$

with the prioritization $P=\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n+1}\right\rangle$ of $\mathcal{A}$ as follows: $\mathcal{P}_{1}=\mathcal{A} \backslash\left\{T\left(x_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\right\}$, and for $1<i \leq n+1$, $\mathcal{P}_{i}=\left\{T\left(x_{i-1}\right)\right\}$. One can show that $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle \vDash=_{\leq_{P}-A R} q$ iff $\nu_{\text {max }}\left(x_{n}\right)=$ true.
$\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathbf{n})]$-hardness for AQs under $\leq-\mathbf{A R}$ semantics. The proof is by reduction from the Parity(3SAT) problem, cf. (Wagner 1987; Eiter and Gottlob 1997). We recall that a Parity(3SAT) instance is given by a sequence $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}$ of propositional formulas in 3CNF, and the problem is to decide whether the number of satisfiable formulas is odd. It is known that it can be assumed w.l.o.g. that the formulas are such that $\varphi_{i+1}$ is unsatisfiable whenever $\varphi_{i}$ is unsatisfiable. Consequently, the problem reduces to deciding existence of an odd integer $k$ such that $\varphi_{k}$ is satisfiable and $\varphi_{k+1}$ is unsatisfiable.

Consider a Parity (3SAT) instance given by $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}$. For each $i, 1 \leq i \leq n$, let $\left\{c_{i, 1}, \ldots, c_{i, m(i)}\right\}$ be the clauses
of $\varphi_{i}$ over variables $X_{i}=\left\{x_{i, 1}, \ldots, x_{i, l(i)}\right\}$. We define an $\leq$-AR query entailment problem as follows:

```
\(\mathcal{T}=\{\exists A \sqsubseteq Y\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{\exists A^{-} \sqsubseteq \neg V^{d}, \exists A^{-} \sqsubseteq \neg W^{d} \mid 1 \leq d \leq 3\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{W^{d} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists K^{m} \mid 1 \leq d \leq 3,1 \leq m \leq 4\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{V^{d} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists E^{m} \mid 1 \leq d \leq 3,1 \leq m \leq 4\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{\exists K^{m-} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists F^{r} \mid 1 \leq m \leq 4,1 \leq r \leq 7\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{\exists E^{m-} \sqsubseteq \neg S^{g} \mid 1 \leq m \leq 4,1 \leq g \leq 5\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{S^{g} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists F^{r} \mid 1 \leq g \leq 5,1 \leq r \leq 7\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{\exists F^{r-} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists P_{l}^{k} \mid 1 \leq r \leq 7,1 \leq k \leq 8,1 \leq l \leq 3\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{\exists F^{r-} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists N_{l}^{k} \mid 1 \leq r \leq 7,1 \leq k \leq 8,1 \leq l \leq 3\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{\exists N_{l}^{k-} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists P_{l^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}-} \mid 1 \leq k, k^{\prime} \leq 8,1 \leq l, l^{\prime} \leq 3\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{\exists N_{l}^{k} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists P_{l^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}} \mid 1 \leq k, k^{\prime} \leq 8,1 \leq l, l^{\prime} \leq 3\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{\exists P_{l}^{k} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists P_{l^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}} \mid 1 \leq k, k^{\prime} \leq 8,1 \leq l \neq l^{\prime} \leq 3\right\} \cup\)
    \(\left\{\exists N_{l}^{k} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists N_{l^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}} \mid 1 \leq k, k^{\prime} \leq 8,1 \leq l \neq l^{\prime} \leq 3\right\}\)
\(\mathcal{A}=\left\{A\left(y, a_{i}\right) \mid i \equiv 1 \bmod 2,1 \leq i \leq n\right\}\)
    \(\cup\left\{K^{m}\left(a_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right), W^{d}\left(a_{i}\right) \mid i \equiv 1 \bmod 2\right.\),
        \(1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq d \leq 3,1 \leq m \leq 4\}\)
    \(\cup\left\{E^{m}\left(a_{i-1}, \varphi_{i}\right), V^{d}\left(a_{i-1}\right) \mid i \equiv 0 \bmod 2\right.\),
        \(1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq d \leq 3,1 \leq m \leq 4\}\)
    \(\cup\left\{S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq g \leq 5\right\}\)
    \(\cup\left\{F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \mid 1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq j \leq m(i), 1 \leq r \leq 7\right\}\)
    \(\cup\left\{P_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \mid x_{i, h}\right.\) is the \(l^{t h}\) literal of \(c_{i, j}\),
        \(1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq 3,1 \leq k \leq 8\}\)
        \(\cup\left\{N_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \mid \neg x_{i, h}\right.\) is the \(l^{t h}\) literal of \(c_{i, j}\),
        \(1 \leq i \leq n, 1 \leq l \leq 3,1 \leq k \leq 8\}\)
    \(q=Y(y)\)
```

Note that $\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}$ and $q$ can be constructed in time polynomial in $\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}$.

First, notice that if $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep} p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$ and $C^{p}(x) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ with $C \in\{V, W, S\}$, then $C^{p^{\prime}}(x) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ for all $C^{p^{\prime}}(x) \in$ $\mathcal{A}$. Indeed, since $C^{p}(x)$ and $C^{p^{\prime}}(x)$ do not conflict with each other and they conflict with the same assertions of $\mathcal{A}$, both or neither will appear in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. For the same reasons, if $R^{p}(x, z) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ with $R \in\{K, E, F, P, N\}$, then $R^{p^{\prime}}(x, z) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ for every assertion $R^{p^{\prime}}(x, z) \in \mathcal{A}$. Hence, if $F^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ for instance, then the seven assertions $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right)(1 \leq r \leq 7)$ are in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, and if $F^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then no assertion of the form $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right)$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.

Next we establish a series of claims that further characterize the sets in $R e p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$.

Claim 1 If $\varphi_{i}$ is satisfiable and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep} p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$, then $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
Proof of claim. Suppose that $\varphi_{i}$ is satisfiable and let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in$ $\operatorname{Re} p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$. Since $\varphi_{i}$ is satisfiable, there exists a truth assignment $\Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)$ such that $\nu_{\Phi_{i}}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)=$ true. It follows that for every clause $c_{i, j}$ of $\varphi_{i}, \nu_{\Phi_{i}}\left(c_{i, j}\right)=$ true so there exists $k$
such that $x_{i, k} \in c_{i, j}$ and $\Phi_{i}\left(x_{i, k}\right)=$ true or $\neg x_{i, k} \in c_{i, j}$ and $\Phi_{i}\left(x_{i, k}\right)=$ false. Let

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{i}}^{\prime}=\{ \left\{S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq g \leq 5\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{P_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \mid\right. \\
& x_{i, h} l^{\text {th }} \text { literal of } c_{i, j}, \\
&\left.\Phi_{i}\left(x_{i, h}\right)=\text { true }, 1 \leq k \leq 8\right\} \\
& \cup\left\{N_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \mid \neg x_{i, h} l^{\text {th }} \text { literal of } c_{i, j}\right. \\
&\left.\Phi_{i}\left(x_{i, h}\right)=\text { false, } 1 \leq k \leq 8\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

and $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{i}}$ be a $\subseteq$-repair of $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{i}}^{\prime}$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}$. By construction, the conflicts of $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{i}}^{\prime}$ are of the form $\left\{P_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right), P_{l^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right)\right\},\left\{N_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right)\right.$,
$\left.N_{l^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right)\right\}$ or $\left\{P_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right), N_{l^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right)\right\}$. Hence $S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{i}}(1 \leq g \leq 5)$ and for each clause $c_{i, j}$, there exists exactly one $h$ such that $P_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{i}}$ or $N_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \in \mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{i}}(1 \leq k \leq 8)$ (otherwise $\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{i}}$ would not be maximal for set inclusion).

Suppose for a contradiction that $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ (thus $\left.S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}, 1 \leq g \leq 5\right)$. Let $Y=\mathcal{A}_{\Phi_{i}} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and let $X$ be the set of the assertions of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ which conflict with some assertion of $Y$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}$. By construction of $X,\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash X\right) \cup Y$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent. Since $S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \in Y, 1 \leq g \leq 5$, and letting $n_{c}$ be the number of clauses $c_{i, j}$ such that $P_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \in Y$ or $N_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \in Y(1 \leq k \leq 8),|Y|=5+n_{c} * 8 . X$ can contain at most 4 assertions of the form $E^{m}\left(a_{i-1}, \varphi_{i}\right)$ (if $i$ is even), which conflict with the $S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$, and $n_{c}$ sets of $7 F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right)$ or of $8 N_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \notin Y$ or $8 P_{l}^{k}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \notin Y$, which conflict with the $P_{l^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \in Y$ or $N_{l^{\prime}}^{k^{\prime}}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \in Y\left(1 \leq k^{\prime} \leq 8\right)$. Hence $|X| \leq 4+n_{c} * 8$. It follows that $|X|<|Y|$, so applying Lemma 2, we get $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \notin R e p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$. (End proof of Claim 1)

Claim 2 If there exists $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep} \leq(\mathcal{K})$ such that $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then $\varphi_{i}$ is satisfiable.
Proof of claim. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in R e p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$ be such that $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. For all $c_{i, j}$ and $1 \leq r \leq 7, \bar{F}^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.

Suppose for a contradiction that there exists $c_{i, j}$ such that for all $x_{i, h}$ and all $l, P_{l}^{1}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}, N_{l}^{1}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \notin$ $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. If $i$ is even, it is possible to add 7 assertions of the form $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right)$ and remove the 5 assertions $S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ to obtain a $\mathcal{T}$-consistent subset of $\mathcal{A}$, contradicting the fact that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is a $\leq$-repair. If $i$ is odd, it is possible to add 7 assertions of the form $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right)$ and 3 assertions of the form $W^{d}\left(a_{i}\right)$ and remove the 5 assertions $S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and 4 assertions $K^{m}\left(a_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ (or add 7 assertions of the form $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right)$ and remove the 5 assertions $S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ if there is no assertions of the form $K^{m}\left(a_{i}, \varphi_{i}\right)$ in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ ) to obtain a $\mathcal{T}$-consistent subset of $\mathcal{A}$, contradicting the fact that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is a $\leq$-repair. Thus for all $c_{i, j}$, there exists $x_{i, h}$ such that $P_{l}^{1}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ or $N_{l}^{1}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.

Let $\Phi_{i}\left(X_{i}\right)$ be the truth assignment defined as follows:

- $\Phi_{i}\left(x_{i, h}\right)=$ true if there exists some assertion $P_{l}^{1}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$
- $\Phi_{i}\left(x_{i, h}\right)=$ false if there exists some assertion $N_{l}^{1}\left(c_{i, j}, x_{i, h}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$
- $\Phi_{i}\left(x_{i, h}\right)=$ true otherwise

By construction of $\Phi_{i}, \nu_{\Phi_{i}}\left(c_{i, j}\right)=$ true for every clause $c_{i, j}$ of $\varphi_{i}$. It follows that $\varphi_{i}$ is satisfiable. (End proof of Claim 2)

Claim 3 If $\varphi_{i}$ is unsatisfiable and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in R e p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$, then there exists $j$ such that $F^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
Proof of claim. Suppose that $\varphi_{i}$ is unsatisfiable and let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in$ $R e p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$. Since $\varphi_{i}$ is unsatisfiable, $S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}, 1 \leq g \leq$ 5 (by 2). Hence there exists $j$ such that $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \in \widehat{\mathcal{A}^{\prime}}$ $(1 \leq r \leq 7)$ or $E^{m}\left(a_{i-1}, \varphi_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq m \leq 4)$ (otherwise $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ would not be maximal).

Thus, if $i$ is odd, then there exists $j$ such that $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq r \leq 7)$.

If $i$ is even, suppose for a contradiction that $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \notin$ $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq r \leq 7)$ for every $j$. Thus $E^{m}\left(a_{i-1}, \varphi_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ $(1 \leq m \leq 4)$ so $V^{d}\left(a_{i-1}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq d \leq 3)$. Let $X=$ $\left(\left\{A\left(y, a_{i}\right)\right\} \cup\left\{E^{m}\left(a_{i-1}, \varphi_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq m \leq 4\right\}\right) \cap \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $Y=\left\{S^{g}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq g \leq 5\right\} \cup\left\{V^{d}\left(a_{i-1}\right) \mid 1 \leq d \leq 3\right\}$. $|X| \leq 5$ and $|Y|=8 . X \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\prime}, Y \subseteq \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime},|Y|>|X|$ and $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash X\right) \cup Y$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent so $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is not a $\leq$-repair.

In both cases, there exists $j$ such that $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ ( $1 \leq r \leq 7$ ). (End proof of Claim 3)

Claim 4 If there exists $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep} p_{<}(\mathcal{K})$ such that there exists $j$ such that $F^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, then $\varphi_{i}$ is unsatisfiable.
Proof of claim. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in R e p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$ be such that there exists $j$ such that $F^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Suppose for a contradiction that $\varphi_{i}$ is satisfiable. Then $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ (by 1) which is not possible since $F^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}, c_{i, j}\right)$ and $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{i}\right)$ are in a conflict. (End proof of Claim 4)

Claim 5 Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in R e p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$. If there exists an odd integer $k$ such that $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and there exists $j$ such that $F^{1}\left(\varphi_{k+1}, c_{k+1, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, or such that $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $k=n$, then $A\left(y, a_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.
Proof of claim. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep} \leq(\mathcal{K})$ satisfy the above conditions, and suppose for a contradiction that $A\left(y, a_{k}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Since $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is maximal, $W^{d}\left(a_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq d \leq 3)$ or $V^{d}\left(a_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq d \leq 3)$. Hence, if $k=n$, then $K^{m}\left(a_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq m \leq 4)$, and if $k \neq n$, then $K^{m}\left(a_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq m \leq 4)$ or $E^{m}\left(a_{k}, \varphi_{k+1}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ ( $1 \leq m \leq 4$ ).

Let $\bar{X}=\left(\left\{W^{d}\left(a_{k}\right) \mid 1 \leq d \leq 3\right\} \cup\left\{V^{d}\left(a_{k}\right) \mid 1 \leq\right.\right.$ $d \leq 3\}) \cap \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $Y=\left(\left\{K^{m}\left(a_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right) \mid 1 \leq m \leq 4\right\} \cup\right.$ $\left.\left\{E^{m}\left(a_{k}\right) \mid 1 \leq m \leq 4\right\}\right) \cap\left(\mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right)$. If $k=n$ then $|X| \leq 3$ and $|Y|=4$. If $k \neq n$ then $|Y| \geq 4$ and if $4 \leq|X| \leq 6$ then $|Y|=8$ (since in this case $X$ contains assertions of the form $W^{d}\left(a_{k}\right)$ and $V^{d}\left(a_{k}\right)$ ). In both cases, we have $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, $Y \subseteq \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime},|Y|>|X|$ and $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash X\right) \cup Y$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent, so $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is not a $\leq$-repair.

We have obtained the desired contradiction, so we can conclude that $A\left(y, a_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. (End proof of Claim 5)

Claim 6 Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep}<(\mathcal{K})$. If there exists an odd integer $k$ such that $A\left(y, a_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\top}$, then $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and there exists $j$ such that $F^{1}\left(\varphi_{k+1}, c_{k+1, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, or $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and
$k=n$.
Proof of Claim 6. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep}_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$ with $A\left(y, a_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, for $k$ an odd integer.

First suppose for a contradiction that $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. Since $k$ is odd, $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$ cannot be in a conflict with some $E^{m}\left(a_{k}\right)$, so there exists $l$ such that $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{k}, c_{k, l}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ $(1 \leq r \leq 7)$. Hence $K^{m}\left(a_{k}, \varphi_{k}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq m \leq 4)$. Let $X=\left\{A\left(y, a_{k}\right)\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{W^{d}\left(a_{k}\right) \mid 1 \leq d \leq 3\right\}$. As $X \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\prime}, Y \subseteq \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime},|Y|>|X|$ and $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash X\right) \cup Y$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent, it follows that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is not a $\leq$-repair. This is a contradiction, so we may conclude that $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$.

If $k \neq n$, suppose for a contradiction that for every $j$, $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{k+1}, c_{k+1, j}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq r \leq 7)$. Since $A\left(y, a_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, $V^{d}\left(a_{k}\right) \notin \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq d \leq 3)$ so $S^{g}\left(\varphi_{k+1}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq g \leq 5)$ and $E^{m}\left(a_{k}, \varphi_{k+1}\right) \notin \overline{\mathcal{A}}^{\prime}(1 \leq m \leq 4)$ (otherwise removing the $4 E^{m}\left(a_{k}, \varphi_{k+1}\right)$ and adding the $5 S^{g}\left(\varphi_{k+1}\right)$ will provide a $\mathcal{T}$-consistent subset of $\mathcal{A}$ with a greater cardinality than $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ ). Let $X=\left\{A\left(y, a_{k}\right)\right\}$ and $Y=\left\{V^{d}\left(a_{k}\right) \mid 1 \leq\right.$ $d \leq 3\} . X \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\prime}, Y \subseteq \mathcal{A} \backslash \mathcal{A}^{\prime},|Y|>|X|$ and $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash X\right) \cup \bar{Y}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent, so $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is not a $\leq$-repair. Again we have a reached a contradiction, and so may infer that there is some $j$ such that $F^{r}\left(\varphi_{k+1}, c_{k+1, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}(1 \leq r \leq 7)$. (End proof of Claim 6)

We are now ready to show that $\mathcal{K} \models_{\leq-\mathrm{AR}} q$ if and only if the answer of the initial parity SAT problem is "yes".

- First suppose that there exists an odd integer $k$ such that $\varphi_{k}$ is satisfiable and $\varphi_{k+1}$ is unsatisfiable (or $k=n$ ). Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Re} p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$. Since $\varphi_{k}$ is satisfiable, $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ (by Claim $\overline{1}$ ), and since $\varphi_{k+1}$ is unsatisfiable (or $k=n$ ) there exists $j$ such that $F^{1}\left(\varphi_{k+1}, c_{k+1, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ (by Claim 3) (or $k=n$ ). Hence $A\left(y, a_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ (by Claim 5). Thus for every $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep} \leq(\mathcal{K}), A\left(y, a_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ so $\left\langle\mathcal{A}^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}\right\rangle \vDash$ $Y(y)$. Hence $\mathcal{K} \models_{\leq-\mathrm{AR}} q$.
- To show the other direction, suppose that $\mathcal{K} \models \leq$-AR $Y(y)$, and let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \in \operatorname{Rep} p_{\leq}(\mathcal{K})$. Then $\left\langle\mathcal{A}^{\prime}, \mathcal{T}\right\rangle \models Y(y)$, so there exists an (necessarily odd) integer $k$ such that $A\left(y, a_{k}\right) \in$ $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ Thus by Claim 6, $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and there exists $j$ such that $F^{1}\left(\varphi_{k+1}, c_{k+1, j}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, or $S^{1}\left(\varphi_{k}\right) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $k=n$. It follows that $\varphi_{k}$ is satisfiable (by Claim 2) and $\varphi_{k+1}$ is unsatisfiable (by Claim 4) or $\varphi_{k}$ is satisfiable and $k=n$.

Remaining lower bounds. Applying Lemma 1, we can transfer the $\Delta_{2}^{p}$ lower bound for AQs under $\leq_{P}$-AR semantics to the $\leq_{w}$-AR semantics. The preceding $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]$ lower bound for AQs under the $\leq-A R$ semantics transfers to the $\leq_{P}$-AR semantics (under the bounded priority level assumption). The latter result can then be transferred using Lemma 1 to the $\leq_{w}$-AR semantics (under the bounded weight assumption).

We next establish the complexity of query entailment under the different IAR semantics.
Proposition 4. Regarding data complexity, $A Q$ and $C Q$ entailment over DL-Lite KBs is coNP-complete for the $\subseteq_{P^{-}}$ IAR semantics. For AQs, we also have coNP-complete regarding combined complexity.

Proof. We can show that $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle \not{\mathcal{E} \subseteq_{P-I A R} q \text { as follows: }}$

1. Guess a subset $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}=\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{m}\right\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ together with a subset $\mathcal{B}_{i} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ with $\alpha_{i} \notin \mathcal{B}_{i}$ for each $1 \leq i \leq m$.
2. Verify that (i) each $\mathcal{B}_{i}$ is a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair and (ii) $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ $\left.\mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right\rangle \not \vDash q$.

Since $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs can be identified in polynomial time (cf. proof of Proposition 2) and query entailment is in P for data complexity (Theorem 1 ), the above procedure runs in non-deterministic polynomial time in the size of $\mathcal{A}$. We thus obtain a coNP upper bound for data complexity. For AQs, query entailment is in P for combined complexity, so we obtain an coNP upper bound also for combined complexity.

We show coNP-hardness using a variant of a reduction from UNSAT from (Bienvenu 2012). Let $\varphi=c_{1} \wedge \ldots \wedge c_{m}$ be a propositional CNF with variables $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}$. Consider the TBox and prioritized ABox defined as follows:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}= & \left\{\exists P^{-} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists N^{-}, \exists P \sqsubseteq \neg \exists U^{-}, \exists N \sqsubseteq \neg \exists U^{-},\right. \\
& \exists U \sqsubseteq \neg B, B \sqsubseteq \neg A\} \\
\mathcal{P}_{1}= & \left\{P\left(c_{j}, x_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq m, x_{i} \in c_{j}\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{N\left(c_{j}, x_{i}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq m, \neg x_{i} \in c_{j}\right\} \\
\mathcal{P}_{2}= & \left\{U\left(a, c_{j}\right) \mid 1 \leq j \leq m\right\} \\
\mathcal{P}_{3}= & \{A(a), B(a)\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\mathcal{A}=\mathcal{P}_{1} \cup \mathcal{P}_{2} \cup \mathcal{P}_{3}$ and $P=\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}, \mathcal{P}_{3}\right\rangle$. It can be verified that $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle \vDash \subseteq_{P-\text { IAR }} A(a)$ iff $\varphi$ is unsatisfiable.

Proposition 5. For data and combined complexity, $A Q$ and CQ entailment over DL-Lite KBs is:

- $\Delta_{2}^{p}$-complete for the $\leq_{P}$-IAR and $\leq_{w}$-IAR semantics,
- $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log n)]$-complete for the $\leq-I A R$ semantics, and for the $\leq_{P}-I A R$ and $\leq_{w}-I A R$ semantics, if there is an ABoxindependent bound on the number of priority classes (resp. maximal weight).
We also have $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log n)]$-completeness for $C Q$ entailment under $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR semantics for combined complexity.


## Proof.

Upper bounds. For the $\leq_{w}$-IAR semantics, we can use the following procedure to decide whether $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle \not \vDash_{\leq_{w-I A R}} q$ :

1. Compute the weight $u_{\text {rep }}$ of $\leq_{w}$-repairs (cf. proof of Proposition 3).
2. For every $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$, use an NP oracle to decide if there exists a $\mathcal{T}$-consistent subset $\mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ such that $\alpha \notin \mathcal{B}$ and $\sum_{\alpha \in \mathcal{B}} w(\alpha)=u_{\text {rep }}$. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be the set of all assertions for which no such subset exists.
3. Use an NP oracle to verify that the CQ $q$ is not entailed from $\left\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right\rangle$.
Correctness of the above procedure is straightforward: the ABox $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ constructed in Step 2 is precisely the intersection of the $\leq_{w}$-repairs. The procedure runs in polynomial time with access to an NP oracle, yielding membership in $\Delta_{2}^{p}$. For the bounded weight case, we first recall that the class $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]$ can be equivalently characterized as the class
of decision problems which can be solved in polynomialtime with a single round of parallel calls to an NP oracle, cf. (Buss and Hay 1991). By using this technique, instead of computing $u_{\text {rep }}$ in Step 1 by making a sequence of logarithmically many oracle calls, we can instead issue a single round of parallel calls to the NP oracle. Steps 2 and 3 can be implemented using two further rounds of parallel NP oracle calls. It follows from results in (Buss and Hay 1991) that we can reduce these three rounds into a single one, from which membership in $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]$ follows.

Similarly to the proof of Proposition 3, we can exploit Lemma 1 to obtain the upper bounds for the $\leq_{P}-\operatorname{IAR}$ and $\leq-$ IAR semantics. For the $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR semantics, we can skip Step 1 of the procedure and modify Step 2 by using the polynomial-time procedure for identifying $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs from the proof of Proposition 3.
$\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathbf{n})]$-hardness for CQs and $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR semantics. The proof is by reduction from the Parity(3SAT) problem introduced in the proof of Proposition 3. Let $\Phi=\varphi_{1}, \ldots, \varphi_{n}$ be a Parity(3SAT) instance satisfying the same restrictions as in the proof of Proposition 3, where each $\varphi_{i}$ is a 3CNF over the variables $x_{i, 1}, \ldots, x_{i, g_{i}}$ composed of $m_{i}$ clauses $c_{i, 1}, \ldots, c_{i, m_{i}}$. We combine ideas from the proof of Proposition 4 with a construction from (Bienvenu and Rosati 2013). In the latter paper, the authors define ABoxes $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ for the odd $j \in[1, n]$ and a Boolean CQ $q$ with the following properties:

1. if $j_{1} \neq j_{2}$, then $\operatorname{Inds}\left(\mathcal{A}_{j_{1}}\right) \cap \operatorname{Inds}\left(\mathcal{A}_{j_{2}}\right)=\emptyset$;
2. $\left\langle\emptyset, \bigcup_{j} \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\rangle \models q$ if and only if $\left\langle\emptyset, \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\rangle \vDash q$ for some $j$;
3. $\left\langle\emptyset, \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\rangle \models q$ if and only if $\varphi_{\ell}$ is satisfiable for $1 \leq \ell \leq j$;
4. there is a variable $x$ in $q$ and an individual $a_{j}$ in each $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ such that $\left\langle\emptyset, \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\rangle \models q$ if and only if $\left\langle\emptyset, \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\rangle \models q\left[x \mapsto a_{j}\right]$.

The query $q$ and $\mathrm{ABoxes} \mathcal{A}_{j}$ provide a means of showing that the first $j$ formulas are satisfiable, but we still also need a way of showing that the $j+1$ st formula is unsatisfiable. To this end, we consider the TBox from the proof of Proposition 4:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{T}= & \left\{\exists P^{-} \sqsubseteq \neg \exists N^{-}, \exists P \sqsubseteq \neg \exists U^{-}, \exists N \sqsubseteq \neg \exists U^{-},\right. \\
& \exists U \sqsubseteq \neg B, B \sqsubseteq \neg A\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where we assume that $P, N, U, B, A$ are fresh roles and concepts, appearing neither in $q$ nor any $\mathcal{A}_{j}$. We also create ABoxes $\mathcal{B}_{j}=\mathcal{B}_{j}^{1} \cup \mathcal{B}_{j}^{2} \cup \mathcal{B}_{j}^{3}$, for each odd $j \in[1, n]$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{B}_{j}^{1}= & \left\{P\left(c_{j+1, \ell}, x_{j+1, h}\right) \mid x_{j+1, h} \in c_{j+1, \ell}\right\} \cup \\
& \left\{N\left(c_{j+1, \ell}, x_{j+1, h}\right) \mid \neg x_{j+1, h} \in c_{j+1, \ell}\right\} \\
\mathcal{B}_{j}^{2}= & \left\{U\left(a_{j}, c_{j+1, \ell}\right) \mid 1 \leq \ell \leq m_{j+1}\right\} \\
\mathcal{B}_{j}^{3}= & \left\{A\left(a_{j}\right), B\left(a_{j}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

where the individuals of the forms $c_{j+1, \ell}$ and $x_{j+1, h}$ are fresh and do not appear in any $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ or any $\mathcal{B}_{j^{\prime}}$ for $j^{\prime} \neq j$, and the individual $a_{j}$ is shared by $\mathcal{B}_{j}$ and $\mathcal{A}_{j}$. We then define the ABox $\mathcal{A}$ as the union of the $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ and $\mathcal{B}_{j}$, for all odd $j \in[1, n]$, and consider the following prioritization $\mathcal{P}=\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{1}, \mathcal{P}_{2}, \mathcal{P}_{3}\right\rangle$ :

$$
\mathcal{P}_{1}=\bigcup_{\text {odd } j \in[1, n]} \mathcal{A}_{j} \cup \mathcal{B}_{j}^{1}
$$

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\ell}=\bigcup_{\text {odd } j \in[1, n]} \mathcal{B}_{j}^{\ell} \quad \text { for } \ell \in\{2,3\}
$$

We remark that since the signatures of the ABoxes $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ and $\mathcal{T}$ are disjoint, the assertions in the ABoxes $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ are not involved in any conflicts, and hence belong to every $\subseteq_{P}$ repair. Moreover, because the ABoxes $\mathcal{B}_{j}$ use disjoint sets of variables, the $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs of $\mathcal{A}$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}$ can be obtained by repairing each of the $\mathcal{B}_{j}$ separately (according to the prioritization $\mathcal{P}$ ) and taking the union of these repairs and the ABoxes $\mathcal{A}_{j}$. Using similar arguments to Proposition 4, one can show that $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle \vDash \varrho_{P-\operatorname{IAR}} A\left(a_{j}\right)$ just in the case that $\varphi_{j+1}$ is unsatisfiable.

Now let $q^{\prime}$ be the query obtained by adding $A(x)$ to $q$. We aim to show that $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle \models_{\subseteq_{P-\operatorname{IAR}} q^{\prime} \text { if and only if there }}$ is an odd $j \in[1, n]$ such that $\bar{\varphi}_{j}$ is satisfiable and $\varphi_{j+1}$ is unsatisfiable.

First suppose that $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle \vDash \subseteq_{\complement^{- \text {IAR }}} q^{\prime}$, and let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be the intersection of the $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs. Then $\left\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right\rangle \vDash q^{\prime}$, and since $\mathcal{T}$ contains only negative inclusions, we in fact have $\left\langle\emptyset, \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right\rangle \vDash q^{\prime}$. Thus, there exists a function $\pi$ mapping variables in $q^{\prime}$ to individuals in $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ which witnesses the satisfaction of $q^{\prime}$. It follows that $A(\pi(x)) \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$, and hence $\pi(x)=a_{\ell}$ for some odd $\ell \in[1, n]$. By above, we know that this means that $\varphi_{\ell+1}$ is unsatisfiable. We have also seen above that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ contains all of the $\mathcal{A}_{j}$, and since $q$ uses only predicates from the $\mathcal{A}_{j}$, we must have $\left\langle\emptyset, \bigcup_{j} \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\rangle \models q$. By Properties 2 and 4 , we can infer that $\left\langle\emptyset, \mathcal{A}_{\ell}\right\rangle \models q$. Applying Property 3, we obtain that $\varphi_{\ell}$ is satisfiable.

For the other direction, suppose that $\varphi_{j}$ is satisfiable and $\varphi_{j+1}$ is unsatisfiable. Then by our earlier assumption, for every $1 \leq \ell \leq j$, the formula $\varphi_{\ell}$ is satisfiable. It follows then by Property 3 that $\left\langle\emptyset, \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\rangle \vDash q$, and so by Property 4, we must have $\left\langle\emptyset, \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\rangle \models q\left[x \mapsto a_{j}\right]$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{j}$ appears in all repairs, this yields $\left\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}_{j}\right\rangle \models_{\subseteq_{P-\operatorname{IAR}}} q\left[x \mapsto a_{j}\right]$. By earlier arguments, the unsatisfiability of $\varphi_{j+1}$ means that $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle \mid \coprod_{\subseteq_{P} \text { IAR }} A\left(a_{j}\right)$ Putting this together, we obtain $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle \models \subseteq_{P-\operatorname{IAR}} q^{\prime}$.

Remaining lower bounds. To show the $\Delta_{2}^{p}$ lower bounds, we can use the reduction from the proof of Proposition 3: as the TBox does not give any way of deriving $T\left(x_{n}\right)$, the query $T\left(x_{n}\right)$ holds in all $\leq_{P}$-repairs iff it holds in the intersection of all $\leq_{P}$-repairs. Finally, a $\Delta_{2}^{p}[O(\log \mathrm{n})]$ lower bound for AQ entailment under $\leq-$ IAR semantics can be proved similarly to the corresponding result for the $\leq-A R$ semantics (Proposition 3).

## 11 Proofs for Section 5

Theorem 3 Let $q$ be a Boolean CQ , $\mathcal{K}=\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}\rangle$ be a DLLite $_{\mathcal{R}} \mathrm{KB}$, and $P=\left\langle\mathcal{P}_{1}, \ldots, \mathcal{P}_{n}\right\rangle$ be a prioritization of $\mathcal{A}$. Consider the following propositional formulas having variables of the form $x_{\alpha}$ for $\alpha \in \mathcal{A}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\neg q} & =\bigwedge_{\mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{causes}(q)}\left(\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} \bigvee_{\substack{\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha) \\
\beta \preceq_{P} \alpha}} x_{\beta}\right) \\
\varphi_{\max } & =\bigwedge_{\alpha \in R_{q}}\left(x_{\alpha} \vee \bigvee_{\substack{\beta \in \operatorname{confl}^{\beta \preceq_{P}}(\alpha)}} x_{\beta}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\varphi_{\mathrm{cons}}=\bigwedge_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in R_{q} \\ \beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha)}} \neg x_{\alpha} \vee \neg x_{\beta}
$$

where causes $(q)$ contains all causes for $q$ in $\mathcal{K}, \operatorname{confl}(\alpha)$ contains all assertions $\beta$ such that $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ is a conflict for $\mathcal{K}$, and $R_{q}$ is the set of assertions reachable in $G_{\mathcal{K}}^{P}$ from some assertion $\beta$ such that $x_{\beta}$ appears in $\varphi_{\neg q}$. Then $\mathcal{K} \vDash \subseteq_{P-\operatorname{AR}} q$ iff $\varphi_{\neg q} \wedge \varphi_{\max } \wedge \varphi_{\text {cons }}$ is unsatisfiable.

We begin by establishing the following lemmas that relate the $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs of $\mathcal{A}$ with the $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs of $R_{q}$.
Lemma 3. Every $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $R_{q}$ can be extended to a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $\mathcal{A}$.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{B}$ be a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $R_{q}$. Construct a set $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ by adding to $\mathcal{B}$ a maximal subset $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ of assertions from $\mathcal{P}_{1} \backslash \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}_{1}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent, then adding a maximal subset $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ of assertions from $\mathcal{P}_{2} \backslash \mathcal{B}$ such that $\mathcal{B} \cup \mathcal{C}_{1} \cup \mathcal{C}_{2}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent, and so on. By construction, the set $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is $\mathcal{T}$ consistent, and we claim that it is in fact a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $\mathcal{A}$. Suppose for a contradiction that this is not the case. Then there must exist another $\mathcal{T}$-consistent set $\mathcal{D} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ and some $k$ such that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}=\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}$ for every $1 \leq i<k$, and $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k} \subsetneq \mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k}$. Consider some $\alpha \in\left(\mathcal{D} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k}\right) \backslash\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)$. It follows from the construction of $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ that $\mathcal{B} \cup\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \cup\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\ldots \cup \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)\right) \cup\{\alpha\}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-inconsistent. Since $\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \cup \ldots \cup\right.\right.$ $\left.\left.\mathcal{P}_{k}\right)\right) \cup\{\alpha\}$ is a subset of $\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D}$ is known to be $\mathcal{T}$-consistent, and all conflicts involve at most two assertions (Fact 1), it must be the case that $\alpha$ conflicts with some $\beta \in \mathcal{B} \backslash\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \cup\right.$ $\left.\ldots \cup \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)$. Since $\beta \in \mathcal{B}$, it must belong to $R_{q}$. It follows then from the definition of $R_{q}$ and the fact that $\alpha \in \mathcal{P}_{k}$ that the assertion $\alpha$ must also belong to $R_{q}$. Now consider the set $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}=\left(\mathcal{B} \cap\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)\right) \cup\{\alpha\}$. It can be easily verified that $\mathcal{B}^{\prime}$ is a $\mathcal{T}$-consistent set with $\mathcal{B} \subsetneq P \mathcal{B}^{\prime}$, contradicting our assumption that $\mathcal{B}$ is a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $R_{q}$.

Lemma 4. If $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is $a \subseteq_{P}$-repair of $\mathcal{A}$, then $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap R_{q}$ is a $\subseteq_{P^{-} \text {-repair of } R_{q} \text {. }}^{\text {. }}$
Proof. Let $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ be a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $\mathcal{A}$, and set $\mathcal{S}=\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap R_{q}$. Clearly, $\mathcal{S}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent. Suppose for a contradiction that there exists a set $\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \subseteq R_{q}$ such that $\mathcal{S} \subsetneq_{P} \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, and let $k$ be such that $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}=\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i<k$ and $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k} \subsetneq \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k}$. We claim that

$$
\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}=\left(\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash R_{q}\right) \cap\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)\right) \cup \mathcal{S}^{\prime}
$$

satisfies the following:

1. $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent.
2. $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \subsetneq_{P} \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$.

Note that these statements together contradict our earlier assumption that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ is a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair.

To show the first statement, suppose for a contradiction that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-inconsistent. Since $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash R_{q}$ and $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ are both known to be $\mathcal{T}$-consistent, and conflicts in DL-Lite $\mathcal{R}_{\mathcal{R}}$ involve at most two assertions (Fact 1), there must exist a conflict $\{\alpha, \beta\}$ with $\alpha \in\left(\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \backslash R_{q}\right) \cap\left(\mathcal{P}_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{P}_{k}\right)$ and $\beta \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. Moreover, since $\beta \in R_{q}$ and $\alpha \notin R_{q}$, we must have $\beta \prec_{P}$ $\alpha$. The assertion $\alpha$ belongs to $\mathcal{P}_{1} \cup \ldots \cup \mathcal{P}_{k}$, so we must have $\beta \in \mathcal{P}_{j}$ for some $j<k$. Since $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}=\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}$ for all
$1 \leq i<k$, it follows that $\beta \in \mathcal{S}$, hence $\{\alpha, \beta\} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. This is a contradiction, since $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ was assumed to be a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair, and so must be $\mathcal{T}$-consistent.

For the second statement, we simply note that since $\mathcal{S} \cap$ $\mathcal{P}_{i}=\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i<k$, we have $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}=\mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}$ for every $1 \leq i<k$, and since $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k} \subsetneq \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k}$, we also have $\mathcal{A}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k} \subsetneq \mathcal{A}^{\prime \prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k}$.

Proof of Theorem 3. We observe that the set of assertions $\alpha$ whose corresponding variable $x_{\alpha}$ appears in the formula $\varphi_{\neg q} \wedge \varphi_{\max } \wedge \varphi_{\text {cons }}$ is precisely the set $R_{q}$. Moreover, every variable $x_{\alpha}$ with $\alpha \in R_{q}$ appears in the subformula $\varphi_{\text {max }}$.

For the first direction, suppose that the formula $\varphi_{\neg q} \wedge$ $\varphi_{\max } \wedge \varphi_{\text {cons }}$ is satisfiable, and let $\nu$ be a satisfying truth assignment. Consider the corresponding set of assertions $\mathcal{S}_{\nu} \subseteq R_{q}$ consisting of all those assertions $\alpha$ whose corresponding variable $x_{\alpha}$ is assigned to true by $\nu$. As $\nu$ satisfies $\varphi_{\text {cons }}$, the set $\mathcal{S}_{\nu}$ contains no conflicts, i.e. it is $\mathcal{T}$ consistent. We claim that $\mathcal{S}_{\nu}$ is a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $R_{q}$. Suppose that this is not the case, and let $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ be a $\mathcal{T}$-consistent subset of $R_{q}$ such that $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}=\mathcal{S}_{i}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}$ for all $1 \leq i<k$ and $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k} \subsetneq \mathcal{S}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{k}$. Consider some $\alpha \in\left(\mathcal{S}^{\prime} \backslash \mathcal{S}\right) \cap \mathcal{P}_{k}$. Since $\alpha \notin \mathcal{S}$, we must have $\nu\left(x_{\alpha}\right)=$ false. As $\varphi_{\text {max }}$ is satisfied by $\nu$, there must exist some variable $x_{\beta}$ with $\nu\left(x_{\beta}\right)=$ true such that the corresponding assertion $\beta$ satisfies $\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha)$ and $\beta \preceq_{P} \alpha$. However, we know that $\mathcal{S} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i} \subseteq \mathcal{S}_{i}^{\prime} \cap \mathcal{P}_{i}$ for every $1 \leq i \leq k$, hence $\beta \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, contradicting the supposed consistency of $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. We have thus shown that $\mathcal{S}_{\nu}$ is a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $R_{q}$. Applying Lemma 3, we can find a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\mathcal{S}_{\nu} \subseteq \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. To show that $\left\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{A}^{\prime}\right\rangle \not \models q$, consider some cause $\mathcal{C}$ for $q$ in $\mathcal{K}$. Then since $\nu$ satisfies $\varphi_{\neg q}$, there must exist some assertion $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ and some $\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha)$ such that $\nu\left(x_{\beta}\right)=$ true. It follows that $\beta \in \mathcal{S}$, hence $\beta \in \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ and $\mathcal{C} \nsubseteq \mathcal{A}^{\prime}$. We have thus showed that $\mathcal{A}^{\prime}$ contains no cause for $q$. We can thus conclude that $\mathcal{K} \not \models_{\subseteq_{P-A R}} q$.

For the other direction, suppose that $\mathcal{K} \not \vDash \subseteq_{P-A R} q$, and let $\mathcal{S}$ be a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $\mathcal{A}$ such that $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}\rangle \not \vDash q$. Consider the set $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}=\mathcal{S} \cap R_{q}$. By Lemma 4, we have that $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is a $\subseteq_{P^{-}}$ repair of $R_{q}$. Let $\nu_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}$ be the truth assignment that assigns to true precisely those variables $x_{\alpha}$ for which $\alpha \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. We wish to show that $\nu_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}$ satisfies $\varphi_{\neg q} \wedge \varphi_{\max } \wedge \varphi_{\text {cons }}$. First, consider some cause $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{A}$ for $q$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{K}$. Since $\langle\mathcal{T}, \mathcal{S}\rangle \not \vDash q$, there must exist an assertion $\alpha \in \mathcal{C}$ that does not appear in $\mathcal{S}$. We also know that $\mathcal{S}$ is a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair, so there must exist some $\beta \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\beta \preceq_{P} \alpha$ that conflicts with $\alpha$ (otherwise, we could obtain a more preferred subset by adding $\alpha$ to $\mathcal{S}$ and removing any assertions conflicting with $\alpha$ ). The assertion $\beta$ belongs to $R_{q}$, so the variable $x_{\beta}$ will be assigned to true by $\nu_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}$, and the clause in $\varphi_{\neg q}$ that corresponds to cause $\mathcal{C}$ is satisfied by $\nu_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}$. We have thus shown that every clause in $\varphi_{\neg q}$ is satisfied.

Next, consider an assertion $\alpha \in R_{q}$ and its associated clause $x_{\alpha} \vee \bigvee_{\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha), \beta \varliminf_{P} \alpha} x_{\beta}$ in the formula $\varphi_{\max }$. If $\alpha \in$ $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, then $x_{\alpha}$ will be assigned true by $\nu_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}$, and the clause is satisfied. If instead $\alpha \notin \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$, then also $\alpha \notin \mathcal{S}$. Using the fact that $\mathcal{S}$ is a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $\mathcal{A}$ and similar arguments to above, we can infer that there is some there must exist some $\beta \in \mathcal{S}$ with $\beta \preceq_{P} \alpha$ and $\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha)$. Since $\alpha \in R_{q}$, it follows
from the definition of the set $R_{q}$ that $\beta \in R_{q}$, hence $\beta \in \mathcal{S}^{\prime}$. We thus have $\nu_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}\left(x_{\beta}\right)=$ true, and so the clause for $\alpha$ is satisfied. This proves that $\varphi_{\text {max }}$ is satisfied by $\nu_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}$.

Finally, since $\mathcal{S}^{\prime}$ is $\mathcal{T}$-consistent, it contains no conflicts, and so $\nu_{\mathcal{S}^{\prime}}$ satisfies $\varphi_{\text {cons }}$. We have thus exhibited a satisfying assignment for the formula $\varphi_{\neg q} \wedge \varphi_{\max } \wedge \varphi_{\text {cons }}$.

Theorem 4 Let $q, \mathcal{K}, P$, causes $(q)$, and $\operatorname{confl}(\alpha)$ be as in Theorem 3. For each $\mathcal{C} \in$ causes $(q)$, consider the formulas:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi_{\neg \mathcal{C}} & =\bigvee_{\alpha \in \mathcal{C}} \bigvee_{\substack{\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha) \\
\beta \preceq_{P} \alpha}} x_{\beta} \\
\varphi_{\max }^{\mathcal{C}} & =\bigwedge_{\alpha \in R_{\mathcal{C}}}\left(x_{\alpha} \vee \bigvee_{\substack{\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha) \\
\beta \preceq_{P} \alpha}} x_{\beta}\right) \\
\varphi_{\text {cons }}^{\mathcal{C}} & =\bigwedge_{\substack{\alpha, \beta \in R_{\mathcal{C}} \\
\beta \in \operatorname{confl}(\alpha)}} \neg x_{\alpha} \vee \neg x_{\beta}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R_{\mathcal{C}}$ is the set of assertions reachable in $G_{\mathcal{K}}^{P}$ from some assertion $\beta$ such that $x_{\beta}$ appears in $\varphi_{\neg \mathcal{C}}$. Then $\mathcal{K} \models_{\complement_{P-I A R ~} q}$ iff there exists $\mathcal{C} \in \operatorname{causes}(q)$ such that the formula $\varphi_{\neg \mathcal{C}} \wedge$ $\varphi_{\text {cons }}^{\mathcal{C}} \wedge \varphi_{\text {max }}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is unsatisfiable.

Proof. Using similar arguments to the proof of Theorem 3, one can show that $\varphi_{\neg \mathcal{C}} \wedge \varphi_{\text {cons }}^{\mathcal{C}} \wedge \varphi_{\text {max }}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is satisfiable if and only if there exists a $\subseteq_{P}$-repair of $\mathcal{A}$ which does not contain the cause $\mathcal{C}$. It follows that $\varphi_{\neg \mathcal{C}} \wedge \varphi_{\text {cons }}^{\mathcal{C}} \wedge \varphi_{\text {max }}^{\mathcal{C}}$ is satisfiable for every $\mathcal{C} \in$ causes $(q)$ just in the case that there is no cause of $q$ in the intersection of the $\subseteq_{P}$-repairs of $\mathcal{A}$ w.r.t. $\mathcal{T}$, i.e. $\mathcal{K} \not \vDash_{\subseteq_{P-\mathrm{IAR}}} q$.

## 12 Datasets

Our ABoxes were generated with EUDG by setting its data completeness parameter (i.e., the percentage of individuals from a given concept for which roles describing this concept are indeed filled) to $95 \%$, which seems realistic from the application viewpoint. All the generated ABoxes were found consistent w.r.t. our enriched TBox, meaning that the added disjointness constraints were to some extent faithful to the reused benchmark.

Generation of Data Inconsistencies Inconsistencies were introduced by contradicting the presence of an individual in a concept assertion with probability $p$, and the presence of each individual in a role assertion with probability $p / 2$. A contradicting assertion is built by stating that the considered individual also belongs to a disjoint but close concept, i.e., the two concepts have a common super-concept which is not the top concept "Thing". Note that a concept may here be an unqualified existential role restriction. The contradicting assertion is added either explicitly or implicitly by choosing one of its specializations (obtained by query rewriting). We chose to generate such inconsistencies because they seem quite natural in real applications (e.g., using by mistake AssistantProfessor in place of AssociateProfessor). Conflicting assertions thus introduced are in turn processed as described above. Additionally, for every role assertion, its individuals are
switched with probability $p / 10$. We chose to generate such misuses of roles because they seem quite natural mistakes in real applications, that may lead to inconsistencies (e.g., inverting the Faculty and Course in a teacherOf role assertion).

Prioritization Prioritizations of an inconsistent ABox were made either by choosing the same priority level for all the assertions of a concept/role or by choosing $a$ priority level for each ABox assertion. Moreover, priority level choices were considered either equiprobable or not. We built prioritizations this way to capture a variety of scenarios. For instance, a database administrator may (manually) partition an ABox using a few priority levels set by concept/role, based upon the reliability of the business processes that provide the data; an ABox integrating data from many sources may be partitioned with more priority levels set per assertion, with the priority of an assertion depending on the reliability of the sources from which it originates.

## 13 Experimental results

Our experiments ran on an Intel i5-3470 CPU server at 3.20 GHz , with 8 Go of RAM, and running Windows 7. All reported times are averaged over 10 runs.

The time it took CQAPri to be up and ready to answer queries is dominated by the construction of the oriented conflict graph for the ABox (Table 1); it took only a few tens of milliseconds to load the TBox and open the PostgreSQL connection to the ABox.

Table 3 shows, per ABox and query pair, how many IAR answers and AR answers (not already found to be IAR) were identified among the possible ones, as well as the number of possible answers that are neither IAR nor AR; it also gives AR query answering time. In this table, OOM means that CQAPri ran out of memory.

Most of the queries (4 out of 5) with AR non-IAR answers have one or two atoms: g2, g3, q1, and q2. Such answers show up as these queries are general and involve concepts with many disjoint sub-concepts. Lut z 5 also provides a few AR non-IAR answers in some rare cases (2 out of 12 , with $\sim 60 \%$ of conflicting assertions), while it is much more complex.

Table 4 shows, per query and for some prioritized ABoxes, how many answers were in the approximation of $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR, the number of $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR answers (not already found to be in the approximation), of $\subseteq_{P}$-AR answers (not already found to be $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR) and the number of possible answers that are not consistent; it also gives $\subseteq_{P}$-AR query answering time. Figure 3 shows the time spent by CQAPri for AR and $\subseteq_{P}$-AR query answering on four sets of ABoxes when the proportion of conflicting assertions is a few percent, as it is likely to be in most real applications, and for three different prioritizations. It shows that our approach scales for these sets of ABoxes.

We observed that adding prioritizations to the ABoxes complicates query answering, and using 3 priority levels typically led to harder instances than using 10 levels. Moreover, three-level prioritizations set per assertion were more difficult than three levels set per concept/role. By contrast,
choosing to make priority levels equiprobable or not had little effect, except when some levels almost disappear due to heavily unbalanced probabilities.

## 14 Queries and Negative Inclusions

Figure 4 contains the queries utilized in our experiments, and below we give the complete list of the 875 negative inclusions added to the $\operatorname{LUBM}_{20}^{\exists}$ TBox (comprising 127 concepts, 27 roles and 152 positive inclusions) . This apparently huge number of added constraints results from the many pairwise disjoint concepts/roles used in the TBox. These inclusions state the disjointness of pairs of concepts or roles appearing at the same level in the TBox (that is, having the same distance to the top concept "Thing") and having the same closest super-concept. We excluded a small number of such inclusions when they did not seem to reflect the intended meaning of the concepts / roles.

```
DisjointClasses(:AdministrativeStaff :Faculty)
DisjointClasses(:Article :Book)
DisjointClasses(:Article :Manual)
DisjointClasses(:Article :Software)
DisjointClasses(:Article :Specification)
DisjointClasses(:Article :UnofficialPublication)
DisjointClasses(:AssistantProfessor :AssociateProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:AssistantProfessor :Dean)
DisjointClasses(:AssistantProfessor :ExDean)
DisjointClasses(:AssistantProfessor :FullProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:AssistantProfessor :VisitingProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:AssociateProfessor :AssistantProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:AssociateProfessor :Dean)
DisjointClasses(:AssociateProfessor :ExDean)
DisjointClasses(:AssociateProfessor :FullProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:AssociateProfessor :VisitingProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:Book :Article)
DisjointClasses(:Book :Manual)
DisjointClasses(:Book :Software)
DisjointClasses(:Book :Specification)
DisjointClasses(:Book :UnofficialPublication)
DisjointClasses(:ClericalStaff :SystemsStaff)
DisjointClasses(:College :Department
DisjointClasses(:College :Institute)
DisjointClasses(:College :Program)
DisjointClasses(:College :ResearchGroup)
DisjointClasses(:College :University)
DisjointClasses(:ConferencePaper :JournalArticle)
DisjointClasses(:ConferencePaper :TechnicalReport)
DisjointClasses(:Course :Exam)
DisjointClasses(:Course :ExamRecord)
DisjointClasses(:Course :Research)
DisjointClasses(:Dean :AssistantProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:Dean :AssociateProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:Dean :ExDean)
DisjointClasses(:Dean :FullProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:Dean :VisitingProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:Department :College)
DisjointClasses(:Department :Institute)
DisjointClasses(:Department :Program)
DisjointClasses(:Department :ResearchGroup)
DisjointClasses(:Department :University)
DisjointClasses(:Director :GraduateStudent)
DisjointClasses(:Director :ResearchAssistant)
DisjointClasses(:Director :Student)
DisjointClasses(:Director :TeachingAssistant)
DisjointClasses(:Employee :TeachingAssistant)
DisjointClasses(:ExDean :AssistantProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:ExDean :AssociateProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:ExDean :Dean)
DisjointClasses(:ExDean :FullProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:ExDean :VisitingProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:Exam :Course)
DisjointClasses(:Exam :ExamRecord)
DisjointClasses(:Exam :Research)
DisjointClasses(:ExamRecord :Course)
DisjointClasses(:ExamRecord :Exam)
DisjointClasses(:ExamRecord :Research)
DisjointClasses(:Faculty :AdministrativeStaff)
DisjointClasses(:FullProfessor :AssistantProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:FullProfessor :AssociateProfessor)
DisjointClasses(:FullProfessor :Dean)
DisjointClasses(:FullProfessor :ExDean)
DisjointClasses(:FullProfessor :VisitingProfessor)
```

| ABox / query | req2 | req3 | g2 | g3 | q1 | q2 | q4 | Lutz1 | Lutz5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| u1p15e-4 | 1519\|0|25 | 231\|0|4 | 1184\|9|6 | 1066\|2|7 | 20119\|0|284 | 8309\|10|60 | 79907\|0|3818 | 15081\|0|2443 | 3136\|0|37 |
|  | 44 ms | 163 ms | 64 ms | 57 ms | 450 ms | 277 ms | 1080 ms | 17175 ms | 2316 ms |
| u1p5e-2 | 883\|0|663 | 85\|0|144 | 946\|221|1 | 908\|101| | 11430\|5|88 | 6520\|634|1440 | 13520\|0|71044 | 495\|0|16713 | $2010\|0\| 1152$ |
|  | 72 ms | 167 ms | 273 ms | 74 ms | 1077 ms | 444 ms | 4124 ms | 19828 ms | 2610 ms |
| u1p2e-1 | $243\|0\| 1303$ | $5\|0\| 213$ | 546\|568|615 | 534\|283|690 | 2894\|40|17100 | $3028\|1410\| 4808$ | $292\|0\| 77267$ | $0\|0\| 16348$ | 543\|5|2534 |
|  | 106 ms | 191 ms | 2084 ms | 237 ms | 3691 ms | 1478 ms | 5146 ms | 23677 ms | 3049 ms |
| u5p15e-4 | 10082\|0|165 | 1403\|0|34 | 2531\|52|29 | $7341\|14\| 2$ | 133525\|0|22 | 53319\|51|3 | 460955\|0|70115 | 105389\|0|11157 | 20333\|0|245 |
|  | 2 m | 831 m | 215 ms | 119 m | 3870 | 2001 ms | 8627 ms | 33704 ms | 21766 |
| u5p5e-2 | $6014\|0\| 4239$ | 591\|0|822 | 1643\|750|1143 | 6340\|608|1195 | 77289\|76|57786 | $43270\|3490\| 8538$ | $7844\|0\| 516488$ | $2104\|0\| 112321$ | 13191\|0|7311 |
|  | 285 ms | 942 ms | 1029 ms | 297 ms | 9598 ms | 3595 ms | 32099 ms | 52586 ms | 24897 ms |
| u5p2e-1 | 1592\|0|8688 | $63\|0\| 1287$ | 1189\|1024|4093 | 3895\|1964|4636 | 18780\|376|114015 | 20925\|10726|28456 | 0\|0|506361 | $0\|0\| 107905$ | $3656\|0\| 16565$ |
|  | 474 ms | 1182 ms | 5658 ms | 1239 ms | 30928 ms | 12189 ms | 48790 ms | 83980 ms | 29606 ms |
| u10p15e-4 | 19008\|0|305 | 2687\|0|82 | 3893\|90|92 | 13513\|24|87 | 251991\|0|400 | 102634\|113|577 | 769786\|0|214146 | 189519\|0|30275 | 38244\|0|509 |
|  | 88 ms | 1650 ms | 352 ms | 182 ms | 7478 ms | 3639 ms | 18729 ms | 51906 ms | 40615 ms |
| u10p5e-2 | 11334\|0|7993 | 1179\|0|1546 | 2744\|908|2147 | 11669\|1064|2327 | 145488\|119|109193 | 82568\|6048|17844 | 1001\|0|976391 | 5249\|0|210086 | 25163\|0|13391 |
|  | 453 ms | 1786 ms | 1881 ms | 562 ms | 18233 ms | 7471 ms | 107063 ms | 85541 ms | 45994 ms |
| u10p2e-1 | 2997\|0|16373 | $105\|0\| 2503$ | 2269\|1075|7702 | 6870\|3734| 8629 | 35086\|727|215197 | 37948\|20613|56376 | OOM | $0\|0\| 202507$ | 6797\|3|31179 |
|  | 891 ms | 2408 ms | 10796 ms | 2591 ms | 68364 ms | 32523 ms |  | 175905 ms | 56572 ms |
| u20p15e-4 | 40428\|0|714 | 5569\|0|159 | 159\|161|18 | 889\|62|17 | 35341\|0|968 | 10179\|191|11 | OOM | 414600\|0|53566 | 81996\|0|1094 |
|  | 170 ms | 5286 ms | 739 ms | 401 m | 19453 m | 44m |  | 112056 ms | 100479 ms |
| u20p5e-2 | 24183\|0|16978 | 2385\|0|3259 | 5727\|937|4558 | 24976\|2293|4872 | $309625\|259\| 232521$ | 170339\|13003|34625 | OOM | $15539\|0\| 443696$ | 53420\|0|29235 |
|  | 1417 ms | 6507 ms | 4970 ms | 1048 ms | 45615 ms | 21271 ms |  | 203818ms | 110658 ms |
| u20p2e-1 | 6336\|0|34964 | $203\|0\| 5185$ | 4803\|1550|16206 | 14845\|7894|18394 | OOM | OOM | OOM | OOM | 14355\|0|67071 |
|  | 5695 ms | 7468 ms | 74618 ms | 13571 ms |  |  |  |  | 495490 ms |

Table 3: Number of IAR $\mid$ AR non-IAR|possible non-AR answers and query answering time under the (plain) AR semantics, per ABox and query

| ABox/query | req2 | req3 | g2 | g3 | q1 | q2 | q4 | Lutz1 | Lutz5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| u1p15e-413cr= | 1527\|0 | 231\|1 | 1190\|0 | 1068\|1 | 20153\|32 | $8314 \mid 21$ | 81215\|39 | 15110\|26 | 3144\|13 |
|  | $0 \mid 17$ | $0 \mid 3$ | 316 | 15 | $0 \mid 218$ | 5\|39 | $0 \mid 2120$ | 0\|2388 | $0 \mid 16$ |
|  | 44 ms | 157 ms | 68 ms | 57 ms | 471 ms | 286 ms | 1258 ms | 16487 ms | 2316 ms$2281 \mid 22$ |
| u1p5e-213cr= | 981\|292 | 100\|46 | 1136\|1 | 989\|40 | 13416\|4813 | 7269\|177 | 24707\|15724 | 565\|13142 |  |
|  | $0 \mid 273$ | $0 \mid 83$ | 46\|148 | 16\|153 | $0 \mid 2076$ | 45\|1103 | $0 \mid 44133$ | $0 \mid 3501$ | $0 \mid 859$ |
|  | 72 ms | 185 ms | 168 ms | 93 ms | 8629 ms | 444 ms | 13523 ms | 37030 ms | 2603 ms |
| u10p15e-413cr= | 1908\|170 | 2687140 | 4026\|0 | 13541/23 | 252136\|2050 | 102735\|343 | 835886\|100766 | 189607\|25700 | 38339157 |
|  | 0\|135 | 0\|42 | 15\|34 | $7 \mid 53$ | 0\|1810 | 24\|222 | $0 \mid 47280$ | $0 \mid 4487$ | $0 \mid 257$ |
|  | 97 ms | 1740 ms | 323 ms | 199 ms | 8305 ms | 4170 ms | 91801 ms | 61148 ms | 43706 ms |
| u10p5e-213cr= | 14762\|0 | 1921\|20 | 3265\|1 | 13388\|401 | 172713\|21 | 91269\|9971 | 4509\|28128 | 6720\|131105 | 32924\|3786 |
|  | 0\|4565 | 0\|784 | 733\|1800 | 217\|1054 | $0 \mid 82066$ | 559\|4661 | $0 \mid 944755$ | 0\|77510 | 0\|1844 |
|  | 347 ms | 1878 ms | 4388 ms | 1500 ms | 327806 ms | 38518 ms | 1751616 ms | 1210720 ms | 61792 ms |
| u1p15e-4110a= | 1531/1 | 2314 | 1194\|0 | 1071\|1 | 20234\|123 | 8332\|34 | 80597\|2132 | 15860\|1617 | $3161 / 8$$0 \mid 4$ |
| u1p5e-2110a= | $0 \mid 2$ | $0 \mid 0$ | 1\|4 | $0 \mid 3$ | $0 \mid 46$ | $0 \mid 13$ | $0 \mid 996$ | $0 \mid 47$ |  |
|  | 41 ms | 171 ms | 62 ms | 62 ms | 425 ms | 287 ms | 983 ms | 15765 m | 2375 ms |
|  | 1126\|302 | 116\|85 | 1172\|3 | 1062\|31 | 15068\|4396 | 7589\|665 | 26355\|36461 | 2295\|13506 | $2741 \mid 231$$0 \mid 190$ |
|  | $0 \mid 118$ | $10 \mid 28$ | 27\|129 | $6 \mid 99$ | $0 \mid 841$ | 2\|328 | $0 \mid 21748$ | 0\|1407 |  |
|  | 67 ms | 176 ms | 113 ms | 68ms | 1903 ms | 409 ms | 13005 ms | 23822 ms | 2636 ms |
| u10p15e-4110a= | 19152\|133 | 2721\|40 | 4000\|1 | 13567\|12 | 253892\|1831 | 102977\|223 | 859425\|97591 | 198019\|21454 | 38606\|81 |
|  | $0 \mid 28$ | $0 \mid 8$ | 11\|63 | 1\|44 | 0\|273 | 4\|120 | 0\|26916 | $0 \mid 321$ | 0\|66 |
| u10p5e-2110a= | 83 ms | 1717 ms | 312 ms | 207 ms | 7865 ms | 4239 ms | 53977 ms | 52791 ms | 44322 ms |
|  | 14403\|3755 | 1537\|956 | 3840\|6 | 13514\|352 | 189643\|53091 | 95153\|6748 | Time out | 19009\|144184 | 33524\|2746 |
|  | 0\|1169 | 0\|232 | 163\|1790 | $69 \mid 1125$ | $0 \mid 12066$ | 202\|4357 |  | $0 \mid 52142$ | 0\|2284 |
|  | 431 ms | 1856 ms | 1229 ms | 435 ms | 48585 ms | 6834 ms | (1h) | 441268 ms | 49042 ms |

Table 4: Number of answers that are in the approximation of $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR $\mid \subseteq_{P}$-IAR but not in the approximation of $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR $\mid \subseteq_{P}$ - AR non- $\subseteq_{P}$-IAR |possible non- $\subseteq_{P}$ - AR , and query answering time under $\subseteq_{P}$ - AR , per ABox and query


Figure 3: Time (in sec.) spent by CQAPri for AR and $\subseteq_{P}$ - AR query answering on four sets of ABoxes (in millions of assertions)

```
        req2(x,y) \leftarrow Person (x), teacherOf(x,y),Course(y)
        req3(x,y,z) \leftarrow Student (x), advisor(x,y), Faculty (y), takesCourse (x,z), teacherOf (y,z), Course(z)
            g2(x)}\leftarrow\mathrm{ Organization(x)
            g3(x) \leftarrow Employee(x)
            q1(x,y) \leftarrow Person (x), takesCourse (x,y)
            q2(x,y) \leftarrow Employee(x), publicationAuthor (y,x)
q4(x,y,z,u,v,w) \leftarrow FullProfessor (x), publicationAuthor(y,x), teacherOf (x,z), advisor}(u,x)
                                    GraduateStudent(u), degreeFrom(x,v), degreeFrom(u,w)
                                    Lutz1(x,y) \leftarrow Student (x), takesCourse(x,y), Course(y), teacherOf (z,y), Faculty (z), worksFor (z,u),
                        Department (u), memberOf ( }x,u\mathrm{ )
        Lutz5(x) \leftarrow Publication(x), publicationAuthor(x,y), Professor(y), publicationAuthor(x,z), Student(z)
```

Figure 4: Queries

DisjointClasses(:GraduateStudent :Director)
DisjointClasses(:GraduateStudent :chair)
DisjointClasses(:Institute :College)
DisjointClasses(:Institute :Department) DisjointClasses(:Institute :Program) DisjointClasses(:Institute :ResearchGroup) DisjointClasses(:Institute :University) DisjointClasses(:JournalArticle :ConferencePaper) DisjointClasses(:JournalArticle :TechnicalReport) DisjointClasses(:Lecturer :PostDoc) DisjointClasses(:Lecturer :Professor) DisjointClasses(:Manual :Article) DisjointClasses(:Manual :Book) DisjointClasses(:Manual :Software) DisjointClasses(:Manual :Specification) DisjointClasses(:Manual :UnofficialPublication) DisjointClasses (:Organization :Person) DisjointClasses(:Organization :Publication) DisjointClasses(:Organization :Schedule) DisjointClasses(:Organization :Work) DisjointClasses(:Person :Organization) DisjointClasses(:Person :Publication) DisjointClasses(:Person :Schedule DisjointClasses(:Person :Work) DisjointClasses(:PostDoc :Lecturer) DisjointClasses(:PostDoc :Professor) Disjointclasses(:Professor :Lecturer) DisjointClasses (:Professor : PostDoc) DisjointClasses(:Program :College) DisjointClasses(:Program :Department) DisjointClasses (:Program :Institute) DisjointClasses(:Program :ResearchGroup) DisjointClasses(:Program :University) DisjointClasses(:Publication :Organization) DisjointClasses(:Publication :Person) DisjointClasses(:Publication :Schedule) DisjointClasses(:Publication :Work) DisjointClasses (:Research :Course) DisjointClasses(:Research :Exam) DisjointClasses(:Research :ExamRecord) DisjointClasses(:ResearchAssistant :Director) DisjointClasses(:ResearchAssistant :chair) DisjointClasses (:ResearchGroup :College) DisjointClasses(:ResearchGroup :Department) DisjointClasses (:ResearchGroup :Institute) DisjointClasses(:ResearchGroup :Program) DisjointClasses(:ResearchGroup :University) DisjointClasses(:Schedule :Organization) DisjointClasses(:Schedule :Person) DisjointClasses(:Schedule :Publication)

DisjointClasses(:Schedule :Work)
DisjointClasses(:Software :Article)
DisjointClasses(:Software :Book)
DisjointClasses(:Software :Manual)
DisjointClasses(:Software :Specification)
DisjointClasses(:Software :UnofficialPublication)
DisjointClasses(:Specification :Article)
DisjointClasses(:Specification :Book)
DisjointClasses(:Specification :Manual)
DisjointClasses(:Specification :Software)
DisjointClasses(:Specification :UnofficialPublication)
DisjointClasses(:Student :Director)
DisjointClasses(:Student :chair)
DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj11Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj12Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj13Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj14Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj15Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj16Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj17Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj18Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj19Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj1Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj20Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj2Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj3Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj4Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj5Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj6Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj7Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj8Course) DisjointClasses(:Subj10Course :Subj9Course)
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Ours is not the first work to consider preferred repairs - see Section 7 for references and discussion.

